

Q1

Your contact details (optional)

Name

Darryn Franklin

Q2

No

Do you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing? The hearing will be held in the Council Chamber on 14 September 2022. Please note that hearings are publicly live-streamed.

Page 2

Q3

Respondent skipped this question

How you would like to present your submission at the hearing?

Q4

Yes

Do you support the goals in the Ashburton Airport Development Plan (as follows)?* Ashburton airport is enabled to become more financially independent* More people are attracted to Ashburton Airport for activities that support the vibrancy and viability of the facility* Ashburton Airport is sustainably managed to support increased use of the facility over the next 30 years* Ashburton Airport remains an appealing, thriving hub for the local community and visiting aviation enthusiasts for the next thirty years

Q5

In 2021/22, the total budget for Ashburton Airport was \$152,023, of which 60.9% (\$92,558) was funded from rates. Which level of rates funding would you support us working towards?

Other (please specify):

as an active user of the airport and been involved in the back ground, i struggle to see how the council comes up the the \$152023 currently to run the airfield, given their small contribution to maintenance and not a lot more done by the council other than taxing users, i feel the level should be fully funded by rates, but more so what is being coded by the council as airport needs full disclosure to the public as i believe costs are being classified as airport when they are not justified costs

Q6

Referring to the map below, please select which of the following you agree with:(you can click on the image to make it bigger)

Providing more space for recreational hangars,

The location proposed for the new recreational hangars precinct

,

Providing a dedicated commercial hangar precinct,

The location proposed for the commercial hangar precinct

,

Providing a hangar homes precinct,

Other (please specify):

the speedway should be relocated away from the airport and hangar homes there, access available and is away from more frequently used runways, these homes are then close to the new hangars

Q7

Referring to the hangar homes proposal on page 8 of the consultation document ([click here to view](#)), what is your preferred density of hangar homes?

Up to 14 hangar homes (medium density)

Q8

Do you have any other comments or feedback about this draft plan?

The new flight school that is suggested to be coming i think is a hazard and risk to the general public, existing users of the airport and the community.

The flight school in Oamaru that is wanting to open shop here has a very poor track record for safety with a lot of near miss and poorly trained student pilots.

the increase in operations creates a hazard around the airfield, we saw a rise of issues when the sky divers were operating and incidents with the increased movements.

I cringe when i hear the oamaru people on the radio and in our area, the poor communication skills of these people compounds the safety issue, yes they could still transit and operate in ashburton from their base in oamaru, but being based locally here i can see accidents or fatalities coming from it if they operate with a disregard to safety like they do in oamaru, i'm presonnally surprised CAA hasn't shut them down yet.

The airfield at differenttimes of the year gets a hammering and the runway and taxi ways are worn out especially peak of summer and winter with weather extremes, adding 40+ addiitonal daily movements would wreck the airfield, so additional investment from the council or the flight school to have sealed taxi way and runways would be a requirement.

The poor layout of the land by the hangars with dips and rises causes issues taxiing currently, so expanding this to main taxi ways could result in aircraft damage, for which ADC could be liable potentially if movement areas are not up to scratch?

the counil needs to have a good hard look at the negative impact this new flight school will introduce vs the glow of extra landing fees you'll be eager to charge
