

***Draft Ashburton Domain  
Development Plan – Summary of  
feedback***

**To support hearings and deliberations 10 & 11 August 2020**

## Table of contents

1.	Summary of feedback received .....	3	1.2. Water play .....	29
	1.1. Ashburton College.....	3	1.3. Aviary.....	31
	1.2. Ashburton Borough School .....	3	1.4. Parks Depot.....	35
	1.3. Tinwald School.....	4	1.5. Walnut Ave Promenade.....	36
	1.4. Wakanui School.....	4	1.6. New entry and access way .....	38
	1.5. Mayfield School.....	4	1.7. Pedestrian crossing over SH1 at Wills Street corner .....	40
	1.6. Rakaia School.....	4	1.8. Plaza.....	41
	1.7. Hinds School .....	5	1.9. Waterway enhancements.....	42
	1.8. Mt Hutt College.....	5	1.10. Band rotunda / kiosk.....	44
	1.9. Netherby School.....	5	1.11. West corner of the Domain.....	45
2.	Key topics.....	6	1.12. Pathway and bridge enhancements.....	47
	2.1. Overall aim of the plan.....	7	1.13. Feedback on other components of the plan .....	49
	2.2. General comments provided on the overall plan .....	11	1.14. Other requests for inclusion.....	51
	2.3. Central Hub project.....	15	1.15. Timeline .....	55
	2.3.1. Central Hub location.....	18	1.1. Other feedback .....	59
	1.1. Playground .....	26		

## 1. Summary of feedback received

Public consultation on the Draft Ashburton Domain Development Plan was undertaken from 28 February to 5 July 2020.

- 164 submissions received on time.
- There were no late submissions received as at 3 August 2020.
- 38 submitters indicated they wanted to be heard on their submission form (25 attending)

In May 2019, the Ashburton Youth Forum attendees were asked to present on the topic: *'Ashburton Domain in 50 years time'*. A summary of their presentations is presented below.

### 1.1. Ashburton College

- Surveyed students for ideas.
- 50% of students surveyed used the Domain more than once per week, mainly to walk through or to utilise the sports fields.
- Suggest that consideration is given to an 'older aged playground' for teenagers.
- 47% of those surveyed would like to see the flying fox fixed/returned.
- 36% would like to see a dining area.
- Suggests the skate park could be relocated into the Domain to increase connectivity and improve safety for users from the current location within traffic flows.
- Believes a Café located by the playground in the corner of West Street and Walnut Ave could attract visitors passing through town and give the Domain life and vibrancy.

- Amphitheatre could be more centrally located where users are and smaller to invite interactivity with users.
- Other suggestions included improving the cleanliness to toilets, adding another hockey turf, more rubbish and recycling bins, improving the quality of the cricket oval and taking better care of the aviary.

### 1.2. Ashburton Borough School

- Surveyed the school to see what they wanted in the Ashburton Domain in the future, with the top suggestions made as follows.
  - Water park (similar to the Margaret Mahy playground) that retains a paddling pool, with an art wall for children can paint and draw on it.
  - More exciting playgrounds for older aged children/teenagers.
  - Underground playground with gardens and tunnels.

- Build an ice cream kiosk next to the playground.
- Have an in-ground trampoline next to the playground as well as a treehouse.
- Include a motorised zipline into the flying fox.
- Increase attractions like an outdoor movie theatre, mini golf and maze with hedges.

### **1.3. Tinwald School**

- Create the 'Ash-Dome' - a large scale terrarium which includes NZ native plants, tree houses, walkways between trees and adrenaline sports. This could be used for outdoor camping trips in the terrarium forest.
- Suggest an underground playground would be great too.

### **1.4. Wakanui School**

- Larger biking area, not necessarily sealed, but this is a favourite activity for students.
- Develop a water park (essentially an adventure playground with water. Considered a water slide but discounted this for being too expensive and hard to cover.
- Suggest an air pillow could be installed near the current playground.
- Like the trees and flowers that are already in the Domain and want to see these retained.

### **1.5. Mayfield School**

- Would like to see a second hockey turf added, with closer car parks. Suggest that the current turf could be turned 180° to fit the second turf in.
- Rugby posts in the Domain would be good to practise when in town.
- Bigger bike track with lumps and jumps – more than the scooter track that is there currently.
- Water playground like that at Margaret Mahy playground, with some more challenging elements for older kids (likewise for the playground itself).
- Double-sided grand stand could serve both hockey turf and football pitch.
- Jazzed-up toilets.
- Dog park area.
- More picnic areas and tables.

### **1.6. Rakaia School**

#### ***Team 1 presentation***

- Dog park.
- Playground like Hamilton City Council has.
- Flying fox should be moved near to the playground, with a rule that those aged under 12 need to be supervised by an adult.
- Netball and basketball courts could be located next to the tennis courts.
- Fitness track needs to be improved and more clearly marked.

- Flower gardens could replace the former flying fox site for the retirement village across the road. Include a seat there for people to enjoy the vistas.
- More seats and tables and rubbish and recycling bins.
- Bridge across the duck pond.

### ***Team 2 presentation***

- More native plants and a nature walk.
- Upgrade aviary and duck pond.
- Upgrade flying fox.
- Suggestion box next to playground for children's suggestions.
- More rubbish and recycling bins, and seats and tables.
- Petanque court.
- Agility space for pets.
- Larger bike and scooter track with more hills.

### **1.7. Hinds School**

- Solar powered lights.
- Make the entire Domain 'smokefree'.
- Clean up the water in the pond.
- Build a sturdier and faster flying fox.
- Convert the old tennis court to an all-weather court.

- Community vegetable garden.
- Native plants for lizards, butterflies etc.
- Bridge over State Highway 1 into the Domain.

### **1.8. Mt Hutt College**

- Build a greenhouse between the oval and aviary. Include a community vegetable garden, hold community cooking lessons, teach people how to grow plants etc. This could be supported by the school curriculum.

### **1.9. Netherby School**

- Build a flying fox for younger children.
- Improve the playground with an obstacle course, mouse house, merry-go round and in-ground trampolines.
- Replace the castle site with a big slide.
- Maintenance of furniture, oil swings, climbing wall handles.
- Install more rubbish and recycling bins.
- Locate an outdoor movie theatre near the playground.
- Include more NZ statues into the Domain.

## 2. Key topics

Submissions have been coded into themes as shown in the table below and comments are listed in the subsequent pages.

Theme	Total number of comments	Theme	Total number of comments
Overall aim of the plan	102	Pedestrian crossing over SH1 at Wills Street corner	13
General comments provided on the overall plan	42	Plaza	3
Central Hub project	43	Waterway enhancements	19
Central Hub location	187	Band rotunda / kiosk	10
Playground	20	West corner of the Domain	14
Water play	29	Pathway and bridge enhancements	16
Aviary	45	Feedback on other components of the plan	22
Parks Depot	25	Other requests for inclusion	40
Walnut Avenue Promenade	13	Timeline	51
New entry and access way	26	Other feedback	11

## 2.1. Overall aim of the plan

Submitters were asked if they agreed or not with the overall aim of the plan. The aim of the plan is to:

- celebrate the waterway;
- create a cohesive play environment;
- increase access from the town; and
- establish a Central Hub at the heart of the Domain.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary			Staff comments
<b>Anonymous 7</b>	14	Submitters support the overall aim of the plan.			Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 11</b>	18				
<b>Anonymous 12</b>	19				
<b>Anonymous 13</b>	20	<b>Gormly, Alisdair</b>		<b>O'Sullivan, Rosemarie</b> 200	
<b>Anonymous 14</b>	21	<b>Gutberlet, Roger</b>		<b>Prendergast, Relda</b> 208	
<b>Anonymous 16</b>	23	<b>Hall, Nathan</b>		<b>Ratten, Leo</b> 217	
<b>Artz, Laretta</b>	26	<b>Harrison, Craig</b>		<b>Reid, James</b> 219	
<b>Ashburton Bowling Club Incorporated</b>	27	<b>Herriott, Wendy</b>		<b>Richardson, Caitlin</b> 220	
<b>Ashburton Youth Council</b>	34	<b>Holder-Day, Kay</b>		<b>Ryk, Jozef</b> 225	
		<b>Howells, Gerain</b>		<b>Smart, Letitia</b> 242	
		<b>Jury, Mary</b>		<b>Tily, Aaron</b> 256	
<b>Atkinson, Neil</b>	36	<b>Kathy</b>		<b>Walker, Kevin</b> 262	
<b>Bishop, David</b>	39	<b>Lysaght, Daniel</b>		<b>Wall, Trevor</b> 267	
<b>Collie, Douglas</b>	52	<b>MacKenzie, Millie</b>		<b>Wallace, Vernon</b> 268	
<b>Crahay, Julie</b>	58	<b>McTague, Kelvin</b>		<b>Warren, Johanna</b> 269	
<b>Craig, Ann</b>	59	<b>Mid Canterbury Cricket Association</b>		<b>Watson, Trevor</b> 270	
<b>Dalton, Murray</b>	67	<b>Mischeski, Seth</b>		<b>Williams, Brian</b> 271	
<b>Donaldson, Brentton</b>	70	<b>Mischeski, Virginia</b>		<b>Wood, Edward</b> 275	
<b>Driscoll, Alison</b>	71	<b>NZ Disc Gold Association</b>		<b>Wood, Pearl</b> 277	
<b>Goodall, Heather</b>	93				

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Crooks-Walker, Christine</b>	61	Submitters supported the overall aim of the plan and provided the following explanations:	Acknowledged.
<b>Forest &amp; Bird</b>	83	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Domain should link Ashburton both physically and psychologically, a hub would draw visitors in (Butler).</li> </ul>	
<b>Kinvig, Douglas</b>	159	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Agrees with improving access from town and focussing on younger generations and waterways (Forest &amp; Bird).</li> </ul>	
<b>NZ Trees for Bees</b>	197	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The domain is a lovely space and could do with some enhancement (Hampton).</li> </ul>	
<b>Hampton, Emma</b>	111	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Having flow between areas would be a good improvement on the Domain (Hore).</li> </ul>	
<b>Hore, Simon</b>	138	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An unofficial hub already exists (Crooks-Walker)</li> </ul>	
<b>Twamley, Rosie</b>	257	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A play environment is encouraged (Kinvig)</li> <li>All of the projects work well together to create a lively and enticing domain (Twamley).</li> </ul>	
<b>Spargo, Leonie</b>	245	Notes the aim of the plan is admirable.	Acknowledged.
<b>Phoenix Pre School</b>	204	Supports the intent of the draft plan.	Acknowledged
<b>Ashburton Sports Turf Trust</b>	32	Supports the overall aim of the plan, provided the	Acknowledged. The ADDP proposes to maintain the existing sporting provision within the Domain.
<b>Skates, Beverley</b>	235	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>hockey turf retains its current position in the domain (ASTT).</li> <li>Domain retains all sporting areas (Skates).</li> </ul>	
<b>Oakley, David</b>	199	Supports the overall goal of the plan, but questions what is meant by ‘celebrates the waterways?’	Refers to desire to enhance the existing waterways within the Domain and make them a ‘stronger’ feature with better access to water’s edge, new riparian planting, cleaner water etc.



Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anonymous 1</b> <b>Emma</b> <b>Hanrahan, Michael</b> <b>Walker, Laraine</b> <b>Wall, Nicola</b>	7 76 112 264 266	Supports the goals of the plan with the addition of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• a research or botanical centre, where learning could occur (Anonymous 1)</li> <li>• a focus on family events (Emma)</li> <li>• a botanical interest (Hanrahan)</li> <li>• more groups being catered for (Walker)</li> <li>• educational information as an aim, along with an edible garden, information centre for the district and Japanese style contemplation garden (Wall).</li> </ul>	These goals are consistent with the ADDP. Families are catered for through playgrounds, gardens, sports and learning areas. Botanical interest & learning can be furthered through the Parks Depot gardens, botanical trails and other events held within the Domain.
<b>Blain, Tony</b> <b>Collins, Peter</b> <b>Herriott, Rodger</b> <b>Mowatt, Heather</b> <b>Quinn, Peter</b> <b>Scammell, Robyn</b> <b>Taylor, Graham</b>	42 55 121 191 216 229 250	Supports the overall aim of the plan, but disagrees with proposed location for the central hub.	Acknowledged. Reasons for proposed location for central hub are set out in section 2.3.1 below.
<b>Ryk, Judie</b>	227	Supports the overall goal of the plan. However, notes rather than changing the areas, there should be a focus on enhancing them.	Acknowledged. The plan does seek to enhance areas.
<b>Stevenson, Denise</b>	247	Supports the overall goal of the plan as long as it looks natural and enhances the beauty of the Domain.	As projects progress further through concept and into detailed design, the ADDP intent is for designs to be sympathetic (rather than contrary) to the established landscape character of the Domain.
<b>Anderson, Murray</b> <b>Eder, Gavin</b>	5 73	Supports some of the goals for the plan.	Acknowledged.
<b>Kilworth, Robin</b>	156	Does not fully agree with the goal of the plan, believes a central hub isn't necessary.	Acknowledged. The reason for the proposed central hub are set out below.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anonymous 5</b> <b>Goodall, Mitch</b> <b>Lee, Winston</b> <b>McLachlan, Jane</b> <b>Quinn, Alison</b> <b>Suttie, Wendy</b>	12 95 163 177 215 248	Supports the overall goal of the plan, but does not agree with the plan put forward / aspects of the plan, for achieving this.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 6</b> <b>Blain, Annette</b> <b>Canterbury Bowls</b> <b>Collins, Olive</b> <b>Cox, Christina</b> <b>Eder, Margaret</b> <b>Gutberlet, Diane</b>	13 40 50 53 57 74 106	Submitters oppose the overall aim of the plan.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 4</b> <b>Anonymous 9</b> <b>Anonymous 10</b> <b>Brown, George</b> <b>Elliott, Lisa</b> <b>Field, Shelagh</b> <b>Griffiths, Cheryl</b> <b>Sheard, Barbara</b> <b>Worrall, Simon</b>	11 16 17 44 75 78 104 234 279	Submitters oppose the overall aim of the plan, as the: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Domain already meets these goals / does not need to change (Anonymous 4, 9 &amp; 10, Elliott, Worrall, Field, Sheard)</li> <li>• Domain is an open space and should be developed as supporting gardens and horticulture, maybe water through ponding and also sports (Brown)</li> <li>• plan has too many buildings and structures which will spoil the Domain, making it look too manmade - notes the more natural, the better (Griffiths).</li> </ul>	<p>The ADDP does not propose a significant number of additional buildings or structures.</p> <p>Potential new buildings / structures could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- band rotunda</li> <li>- bowling club (if relocated)</li> <li>- new playground and water play equipment</li> <li>- boardwalks along some limited portions of the waterway</li> </ul> <p>The intent is to enhance existing buildings, bridges and structures, and replace where required. Design guidance is included for these upgrades.</p>

## 2.2. General comments provided on the overall plan

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anderson, Murray</b> <b>Ashburton Youth Council</b> <b>Crooks-Walker, Christine</b> <b>Cross, Colin</b> <b>Falloon, Shirley</b> <b>Hanrahan, Michael</b> <b>Holder-Day, Kay</b> <b>Lysaght, Daniel</b> <b>Prendergast, Relda</b> <b>Taylor, Graham</b> <b>Tily, Aaron</b> <b>Twamley, Rosie</b>	5 34 61 63 77 112 137 167 208 250 256 257	Submitters noted that they supported all of the projects in the plan / that there were no projects that weren't important to be completed.  <i>Note that while submitters noted they agreed with all the proposed projects, some still had suggestions for improvements / changes which have been included in the following tables with the relevant project.</i>	Acknowledged.
<b>Crooks-Walker, Christine</b> <b>Falloon, Shirley</b> <b>Walker, Kevin</b>	61 77 262	Submitters provided positive praise towards the plan, such as that, on the whole, it contains good ideas.	Acknowledged.
<b>Warren, Johanna</b>	269	Submitter notes they have only moved to Ashburton in the past year, and enjoys the Domain, but is now looking forward to it being even better.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anderson, Murray</b>	5	Notes the balance of the 5 precincts is pretty good. The challenge is to ensure that this it is maintained, enhanced and new opportunities taken on-board.	Being a long term plan (30 years), the intent is that the ADDP is reviewed and updated at regular intervals (every 5-10 years) to capture new opportunities that arise, and keep it up to date.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Ashburton Youth Council</b>	34	Notes it will be great for families to have fun and explore in, and will make Ashburton Domain a fantastic space to go to.	Acknowledged.
<b>Field, Shelagh</b>	78	Notes the importance of keeping the character of the Domain and not modernising it - heritage and history are important.	The ADDP acknowledges the history, heritage and development of the Domain over the last 150+ years. The intent is to remain sympathetic to this heritage and the existing landscape character while also providing improvements where appropriate.
<b>Girvan, Jackie</b>	89	Requests the Domain is left as it is, as it has its own charm. The only project that should be undertaken is the building enhancements.	The purpose of the plan is to enhance the values of the domain, maximise its value to the community, and to ensure it meets the community's needs.
<b>Glasse, Brian</b>	91	Notes the basic framework of the Domain should remain the same with new things added, not demolish what has been established over the 150 years of the Domain.	Acknowledged. The plan does not propose to demolish aspects of the Domain.
<b>Harrison, Marion</b>	116	Believes the proposed designs should work around what we already have.	Acknowledged. This is generally consistent with the objectives of the ADDP.
<b>Howells, Gerain</b>	140	<p>There is no relationship to heritage with the design, and the heritage of the Domain is one of its greatest assets. The design imagery does not incorporate the Domain's heritage.</p> <p>The plan seems to ignore the existing pressures on sports growth in the district and does nothing to accommodate extra capacity.</p>	<p>The ADDP acknowledges the history, heritage and development of the Domain over the last 150+ years. The intent is to remain sympathetic to this heritage and the existing landscape character while also providing improvements where appropriate.</p> <p>The ADDP proposes to maintain the existing sporting provision within the</p>

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
			Domain. It is not envisaged there is space for additional new sporting facilities within the Domain.
<b>Anonymous 10</b> <b>Cross, Martin</b> <b>Dalton, Murray</b> <b>Field, Shelagh</b> <b>Harrison, Marion</b> <b>McTague, Kelvin</b> <b>Sheard, Barbara</b> <b>Wood, Pearl</b> <b>Worrall, Simon</b>	17 65 67 78 116 179 234 277 279	Submitters noted that they do not support any of the projects in the plan / that there were no projects that were important to be completed.	Acknowledged.
<b>McTague, Kelvin</b> <b>Page, Viv</b> <b>Prendergast, Desmond</b>	179 202 206	Submitters note there is nothing wrong with the Domain / it should be left as is. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It shouldn't be fixed if it's not broken (McTague)</li> <li>• Some areas need re-working and tidying up, but nothing major (Page)</li> <li>• Focus should be on looking after what is already there (Prendergast)</li> </ul>	The purpose of the ADDP is to enhance the Domain, maximise its value to the community, and to ensure a coordinated approach to future expenditure.  The ADDP proposes maintenance and tidying up of existing features where needed.
<b>Anonymous 5</b>	12	Requests the Domain is kept a pleasure for all ages.	Acknowledged.
<b>Phoenix Pre School</b>	204	Notes there is no reference in the plan to 'mobility friendly' access or equipment. Requests this is incorporated into Councils planning and that relevant stakeholders are worked with to ensure projects are mobility friendly.	Acknowledged. This will be considered during the implementation of the ADDP i.e. conceptual and detailed design phase.
<b>Smart, Letitia</b>	242	Believes it is important for the Domain to keep its heritage, whilst incorporating modern sustainable ways.	The ADDP acknowledges the history, heritage and development of the Domain over the last 150+ years. The intent is to remain sympathetic to this heritage and the existing landscape character while also

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
			providing improvements where appropriate.
<b>Wood, Edward</b>	275	Believes no more buildings should be constructed, instead if a building is required, an existing one should be knocked down.	<p>The ADDP does not propose a significant number of additional buildings or structures.</p> <p>Potential new buildings / structures could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- band rotunda</li> <li>- bowling club (if relocated)</li> <li>- new playground and water play equipment</li> <li>- boardwalks along some limited portions of the waterway</li> </ul> <p>The intent is to enhance existing buildings, bridges and structures, and replace where required. Design guidance is included for these upgrades.</p>
<b>Wood, Pearl</b>	277	Suggests leaving some open space for children to ride their bikes.	The ADDP proposes new and enhanced pathways within the Domain – including the Walnut Ave promenade which will be suitable for children’s bike rides. The existing pump track could be expanded as part of the playground renovations.
<b>Anonymous 2</b>	9	Approves of the green and domain itself.	Acknowledged.
<b>Prendergast, Desmond</b>	206	Wishes to keep sport in the Domain.	The ADDP proposes to maintain the existing sporting provision within the Domain.

### 2.3. Central Hub project

The Central Hub would be the ‘heart of the Domain’ where there is information, education displays, and refreshments available. It is proposed to consist of a building along with a large outdoor seating area which extends into the rose garden / aviary area.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anonymous 7</b>	14	Submitters noted their support for the development of a central hub.	One of the ‘drivers’ of the proposed central hub is to create better connections & visibility between the different parts of the Domain.
<b>Anonymous 16</b>	23	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A central hub is desperately needed for youth and younger adults (Anonymous 7).</li> </ul>	
<b>Ashburton Youth Council</b>	34	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is a fantastic idea and provides another reason for families to get out and about (AYC)</li> </ul>	
<b>Collie, Doug</b>	52	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Believes it is an innovative idea (Harrison)</li> </ul>	
<b>Donaldson, Brentton</b>	70	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It would be an area to serve all age groups and allow for future generations (McAslan)</li> </ul>	
<b>Gormly, Alisdair</b>	96	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Will add to the vibrancy of the Domain (Phoenix Pre School)</li> </ul>	
<b>Gutberlet, Roger</b>	108	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For displaying information (A Quinn)</li> </ul>	
<b>Harrison, Craig</b>	114	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A central hub will improve the connection between the Domain and the rest of the town, and provide a destination for people from out of town to come to (Smart).</li> </ul>	
<b>Mackenzie, Alastair</b>	168	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Help the garden flow into the other precincts. Agrees with the better flow for people on foot or with mobility scooters / wheelchairs in and out of and across the carpark (Warren).</li> </ul>	
<b>McAslan, Louisa</b>	174		
<b>Nora</b>	196		
<b>O'Sullivan, Rosemarie</b>	200		
<b>Phoenix Pre School</b>	204		
<b>Quinn, Alison</b>	215		
<b>Quinn, Peter</b>	216		
<b>Smart, Letitia</b>	242		
<b>Warren, Johanna</b>	269		
<b>NZ Trees for Bees</b>	197	<p>Notes the hub could be used as an educational area on waterways, plants, pollinators, beneficial insects and the environment.</p> <p>NZ Trees for Bees Research Trust could assist in supplying information for bees, butterflies, birds and other biodiversity pollinators. This would attract schools, garden clubs etc.</p>	The ADDP proposes the Central Hub could be used for educational opportunities – including display of environmental information. Other aspects of the ADDP also propose an enhanced environment & biodiversity – for example the Aviary

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
			wildlife gardens, Parks Depot gardens and enhanced waterway plantings.
<b>Wall, Nicola</b>	266	Requests the central hub space contains information on the district.	This is in keeping with the ADDP intent.
<b>Collie, Douglas</b> <b>Griffiths, Cheryl</b> <b>Wallace, Vernon</b> <b>Warren, Johanna</b>	52 104 268 269	Supports the inclusion of a café / area for coffee in the central hub.	Acknowledged.
<b>Oakley, David</b>	199	Supports a central hub space, and suggest it should incorporate the bowling greens. Agrees with a cafe in the central hub, suggests the existing bowling club rooms could be used with their agreement.	The bowling club would not be able to accommodate all of the desirable components of a central hub. Furthermore, private and public use of this area are unlikely to be compatible.
<b>Pridie, Robin</b>	210	Suggests using the iSite building is used for the central hub building. Notes that there is a lack of people using the Domain in the winter months so questions how a café could continue running.	The iSite building would introduce a new building design style into the domain that is not consistent with the proposed design guidelines. A café has the potential to draw more people into the Domain.
<b>Driscoll, Alison</b>	71	Is not convinced the central hub would be well utilised. Doesn't believe a café is required.	The Central hub does not necessarily have to include a café, however it has some advantages by providing an all-weather meeting point in the domain, and has the potential to draw more people into the domain.
<b>Field, Shelagh</b>	78	Suggests the Curator's House is used for a learning hub rather than developing a central hub where the bowling club is. Opposed to the inclusion of a cafe - people bring their own picnics.	The ADDP proposes one option for the Curator's House is as a community hire facility. Central hub does not necessarily have to contain a café, however it has some



Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
			advantages by providing an all-weather meeting place in the domain.
<b>Anonymous 3</b> <b>Anonymous 4</b> <b>Anonymous 9</b> <b>Girvan, Jackie</b> <b>Hampton, Emma</b> <b>Kilworth, Robin</b> <b>Scott, Pauline</b>	10 11 16 89 111 156 231	Opposed to a central hub. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Domain doesn't need it (Anonymous 3 &amp; 9)</li> <li>A coffee shop is unnecessary and it is unclear what a central hub is (Anonymous 4).</li> <li>Parks are for picnics (Hampton)</li> <li>There should be as much open space as possible (Kilworth)</li> <li>An education centre and meeting room is not necessary. Supports the inclusion of maps and information of various area though (Scott)</li> <li>The Domain has many uses and can be entered at any point off the four surrounding streets (Girvan).</li> </ul>	The intent of the Central Hub is to provide a focal point for activity and information at the heart of the Domain. The hub would become the starting point for people visiting the Domain, and the 'go-to' place for information, refreshments and a space for community activity, education and display. The Central Hub could contain: a café space with associated outdoor dining area, an information and interpretation centre profiling the Domain gardens, a flexible gallery and education space that can be used by Council and community for display, meetings and teaching classes.
<b>Anonymous 9</b> <b>Girvan, Jackie</b>	16 89	Submitters do not believe the Domain needs a central hub or café. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ashburton isn't a tourist town, people stop to use the toilet and continue on (Anonymous 9).</li> <li>Council should not be competing with commercial businesses in town (Girvan).</li> </ul>	The potential for a café space within the Domain at the central hub is proposed in the ADDP. This proposal would need to be tested further with a suitably experienced commercial café operators as part of further design exploration of the central hub project.
<b>Barbara Cox, Christina Donaldson, Brentton Emma</b>	37 57 70 76	Submitters do not agree with the inclusion of a café in the central hub. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There are plenty of cafes / restaurants in Ashburton (Donaldson)</li> <li>There are plenty already (Emma)</li> </ul>	Acknowledged. Please see previous staff comments.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Kilworth, Robin</b> <b>McLachlan, Jane</b>	156 177	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This need could be met with food carts etc (Kilworth).</li> </ul>	
<b>Anonymous 1</b>	7	Disagrees with a café, but would like a food truck.	The Central Hub could be designed to accompany food truck provision. This will be considered during the implementation of the ADDP i.e. conceptual and detailed design phase.

### 2.3.1. Central Hub location

The draft plan proposes the Central Hub is situated where the current Ashburton Bowling Club site is. To enable this to be developed, the bowling club would need to be relocated, possibly when their lease expires in 2028. In addition to the comments in the table below, we have also received a petition to keep the Ashburton Bowling Club on the existing site. The petition contains 650 written signatures and 412 electronic signatures.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anonymous 14</b>	21	Supports the proposed location for the central hub – resulting in the bowling club moving to another location.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 16</b>	23		
<b>Artz, Laretta</b>	26	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>it is the best position for the central hub with the main activities around it (Anonymous 14).</li> </ul>	
<b>Ashburton Youth Council</b>	34		
<b>Hore, Simon</b>	138	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is a central part of the Domain only accessible to club members - the needs of many should outweigh the needs of a few (Anonymous 16).</li> </ul>	
<b>Kara</b>	144		
<b>Lysaght, Daniel</b>	167	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Will create opportunities for Ashburton. Notes the bowling club is being moved, not eradicated (AYC).</li> </ul>	
<b>McAslan, Louisa</b>	174		
<b>Mid Canterbury Cricket Association</b>	180	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Makes sense to have the bowling club by the croquet area (Butler).</li> </ul>	
<b>Mischeski, Seth</b>	185	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Would allow the Domain to remain open to the people who use it for general purposes, while allowing the bowling members to go about their business.</li> </ul>	

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Mischeski, Virginia</b> <b>NZ Trees for Bees</b> <b>Phoenix Pre School</b> <b>Ratten, Leo</b> <b>Smart, Letitia</b> <b>Tily, Aaron</b> <b>Twamley, Rosie</b> <b>Walker, Laraine</b> <b>Wallace, Vernon</b> <b>Warren, Johanna</b>	186 197 204 217 242 256 257 264 268 269	<p>Notes that the Domain is currently hindered by the events the bowling club have, as their members take up a lot of car parks (Hore).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notes the current location is beautiful but has no room for expansion and serves only adults. Suggests moving the bowling club to the EANC site would serve many generations and allow for expansion. Notes as there are many years before the bowling club would leave the Domain, it would allow for a lovely layout and landscaping to be established. (McAslan).</li> <li>• It will enhance the area (MCCA).</li> <li>• It could be moved to a more suitable place – it doesn't fit in with the garden around it (Mischeski).</li> <li>• It is necessary to carry out the redevelopment. However, urges Council to undertake specific consultation with the Bowling Club members to find a workable solution for all. (Phoenix Pre School).</li> <li>• Especially if the new entrance is created (Twamley).</li> <li>• Moving the club closer to other sport facilities is logical. It would also help with freeing up parking. Notes it makes sense for the heart of the Domain to be for everyone's use, instead of just the members of the bowling club. (Wallace).</li> <li>• The Bowling Club can be moved to another point in the Domain with decent parking, access, and space for all club activities (Warren).</li> </ul>	
<b>Bishop, David</b> <b>Reid, James</b>	39 219	<p>Supports the proposed location for the central hub – resulting in the bowling club moving to another location, providing:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• funding is provided and the bowling club is left unaffected in terms of finances and resources (Bishop)</li> <li>• the cost is minimal. Shifting a facility in good condition at a large expense is not a good use of money (Reid).</li> </ul>	Acknowledged.
<b>New Zealand Disc Golf Association</b>	195	No objection for the bowling club being moved for the central hub space.	Acknowledged.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Driscoll, Alison</b>	71	Notes they have no fixed view on relocating the bowling club, however see some benefit in having the bowling club near the croquet area.	Acknowledged. The disused netball courts could provide parking for both facilities.
<b>Hanrahan, Michael</b>	112	Acknowledges the club's historical interest in the area. Also notes, in recent years the club has become intrusive with their use of a PA system when quietly enjoying the adjacent gardens.	Acknowledged.
<b>Holder-Day, Kay</b>	137	Notes if the bowling club was to be relocated, it must be convenient to the bowling club, there must be a compromise.	Acknowledged.
<b>Lee, Winston</b>	163	Would only support moving the bowling club if Council establishes the new set up as the cost would be beyond the means of any club. Notes this cost would be in excess of \$1 million.	Acknowledged.
<b>Blain, Tony Forest &amp; Bird McTague, Kelvin</b>	42 83 179	Opposed to the bowling club moving, unless <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Council is prepared to set up a better facility at their cost (Blain, McTague).</li> <li>• The bowling club members concerns have been heard and there is budget to cover the cost of setting them up elsewhere (F&amp;B).</li> </ul>	Acknowledged.
<b>Anderson, Murray Anonymous 5 Anonymous 8 Anonymous 9 Anonymous 12 Anstiss, Russell Ashburton Bowling Club Incorporated Barbara Blain, Annette Collie, Douglas Collins, Peter Crahay, Julie Craig, Ann</b>	5 12 15 16 19 24 27  37 40 52 55 58 59	Submitters are opposed to the bowling club moving for the central hub for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is an integral part of the Domain / an asset / the heart of the Domain (Anderson, Crahay, Dalton, Gibson, J Ryk, Scammell, Spargo)</li> <li>• It has been there for 120/125 years / has a lot of history (Antiss, ABC, Gibbs, Goodall, Gormly, Harrison, Barbara, Nora, A Quinn, J Ryk, Scammell, Walker, Watson)</li> <li>• The area doesn't deserve to be hidden away (Anonymous 5)</li> <li>• It should be kept for bowlers and card players in the winter (Blain)</li> <li>• It should stay there for the approx. 90 players + supporters (Gowan)</li> <li>• The club is used by several thousand each year (Taylor)</li> </ul>	Acknowledged. While the bowling club is an important part of the Domain, is attractive, and is well used on limited occasions, it does not currently provide the activities associated with a central hub space, nor is it a space that is open to the general public and people stopping in Ashburton.  The Central Hub has been positioned at this location because: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the site is located at the junction of the sports, play and gardens</li> </ul>

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Crooks-Walker, Christine</b>	61	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The buildings are used by many people (Maw)</li> <li>It is important for future generations (Diamond)</li> </ul>	<p>precincts therefore providing a central point that connects these different uses and activities within the Domain;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the site is located adjacent to the main carpark and close to the existing cycleway through the Domain;</li> <li>- the site is at the 'heart' of the Domain, adjacent to the historic plantings at the Royal Lawn and Rose Gardens, the playground and aviary;</li> <li>- the enclosed nature of a bowling club creates a visual and physical barrier within the Domain in this important location. The ability to open up views and movement in this area would be beneficial.</li> </ul> <p>In association with the building, it is proposed that a new outdoor gathering and garden space is developed that is well connected to the other formal gardens and activities including the redeveloped Aviary area.</p>
<b>Dalton, Murray</b>	67		
<b>Diamond, Reginald</b>	68		
<b>Donaldson, Brentton</b>	70	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is an activity that keeps the body and mind active (Lowe)</li> </ul>	
<b>Eder, Gavin</b>	73	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Serious thought must go into the effects moving the club would have on its members (P Quinn)</li> </ul>	
<b>Falloon, Shirley</b>	77		
<b>Field, Shelagh</b>	78	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It will force many members into retirement from the sport due to their age (Skilling)</li> </ul>	
<b>Fleming, Rosalie</b>	81		
<b>Gibbs, Alison</b>	86	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It has the two best bowling greens in the Ashburton District (Antiss, Goodall, Mowatt)</li> </ul>	
<b>Gibson, Anne</b>	88		
<b>Girvan, Jackie</b>	89		
<b>Goodall, Heather</b>	93	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The only club in Mid Canterbury with two natural surface greens (Gutberlet)</li> </ul>	
<b>Goodall, Mitch</b>	95		
<b>Gormly, Alisdair</b>	96	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is used and visited by people local / out of town / all over the world who comment how beautiful it is (M Eder, Lowe, P Quinn, Taylor)</li> </ul>	
<b>Gowan, Florence</b>	98		
<b>Gray, Heather</b>	100	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The space is well used (Falloon, J Ryk)</li> </ul>	
<b>Gray, Owen</b>	102		
<b>Gutberlet, Roger</b>	108	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is attractive and well looked after (Falloon, Fleming, Gray, Kewish, King, Kinvig, P Quinn, J Ryk)</li> </ul>	
<b>Harrison, Craig</b>	114		
<b>Jury, Mary</b>	143		
<b>Kathy</b>	145	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It adds to the 'buzz' of the Domain (Falloon)</li> </ul>	
<b>Kemp, Harold</b>	150	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Domain is a 'people place' and the bowling club adds to this (Wood)</li> </ul>	
<b>Kewish, John</b>	152	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is a form of entertainment for visitors (Harrison)</li> </ul>	
<b>King, Diana</b>	157	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>People enjoy visiting it (Walker)</li> </ul>	
<b>Kinvig, Douglas</b>	159	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It could become an attraction for the central hub (Oakley)</li> </ul>	
<b>Maw, Shirley</b>	172		
<b>Mowatt, Heather</b>	191		
<b>Nora</b>	196	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The bowlers would lose their clubrooms and sheds which the bowlers built (ABC)</li> </ul>	
<b>Oakley, David</b>	199		
<b>Prendergast, Relda</b>	208		

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Pridie, Robin</b>	210	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="663 228 1458 256">• A lot of members put money / volunteer time into the club/facilities (Collins, Goodall, A Quinn)</li> </ul>	
<b>Quaid, Antony</b>	214		
<b>Quinn, Alison</b>	215	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="663 308 1155 336">• The building can't be moved (Gutberlet)</li> </ul>	
<b>Quinn, Peter</b>	216		
<b>Ryk, Jozef</b>	225		
<b>Scammell, Robyn</b>	229	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="663 403 1498 507">• There are other/better areas the hub could go (Collie, Crooks-Walker, Donaldson, Gibson, Gormly, Gutberlet, Hall, Jury, Kathy, Quaid, N Wall, Watson)</li> </ul>	
<b>Scott, Pauline</b>	231		
<b>Skilling, John</b>	239		
<b>Spargo, Leonie</b>	245	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="663 528 1498 557">• It is a waste of money when there are other locations available (G Eder)</li> </ul>	
<b>Stevenson, Denise</b>	247	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="663 564 1520 643">• There is no need to change things / the current location is suitable (Craig, Field, Barbara)</li> </ul>	
<b>Taylor, Graham</b>	250		
<b>Walker, Kevin</b>	262	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="663 659 1167 687">• It is unnecessary (Mowatt, Anonymous 5)</li> </ul>	
<b>Wall, Nicola</b>	266	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="663 707 1099 735">• It is unfair to the users (Stevenson)</li> </ul>	
<b>Wall, Trevor</b>	267		
<b>Watson, Trevor</b>	270	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="663 754 1285 783">• It takes years to get the ground right (Anonymous 8)</li> </ul>	
<b>Wood, Edward</b>	275		
<b>Wood, Pearl</b>	277	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="663 850 1476 879">• There won't be enough parking for croquet, hockey and bowls (Field)</li> <li data-bbox="663 895 1413 924">• The suggested location is unsuitable (Spargo, E Wood, P Wood)</li> </ul>	
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="663 1018 1509 1046">• It is an unnecessary cost (Anonymous 12, Girvan, N Wall, T Wall, Watson)</li> <li data-bbox="663 1062 1516 1141">• The cost to set up would be very / too high / millions (Goodall, Gutberlet, Kemp, Mowatt, Oakley, Prendergast, Spargo, Taylor)</li> <li data-bbox="663 1157 1487 1185">• Questions who would pay the cost to move? (Pridie, A Quinn, P Quinn)</li> </ul>	

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments																																																																				
<b>Anonymous 1</b>	7	<table border="0"> <tr> <td><b>Gutberlet, Diane</b></td> <td>106</td> <td><b>Mitchell, Raymond</b></td> <td>187</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Hall, Nathan</b></td> <td>110</td> <td><b>Moffatt, John</b></td> <td>189</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Hampton, Emma</b></td> <td>111</td> <td><b>Muir, David</b></td> <td>193</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Harrison, Marion</b></td> <td>116</td> <td><b>O'Sullivan, Rosemarie</b></td> <td>200</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Harrison, Syd</b></td> <td>118</td> <td><b>Page, Viv</b></td> <td>202</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Herriott, Wendy</b></td> <td>120</td> <td><b>Prendergast, Desmond</b></td> <td>206</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Herriott, Rodger</b></td> <td>121</td> <td><b>Richardson, Caitlin</b></td> <td>220</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Howells, Gerain</b></td> <td>140</td> <td><b>Rooke, Charles</b></td> <td>221</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Huband, Allen</b></td> <td>141</td> <td><b>Rush, James</b></td> <td>223</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Keeling, Royston</b></td> <td>146</td> <td><b>Sheard, Barbara</b></td> <td>234</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Keenan, Colleen &amp; Leslie</b></td> <td>148</td> <td><b>Skates, Beverley</b></td> <td>235</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Kewish, Yvonne</b></td> <td>154</td> <td><b>Smart, John</b></td> <td>241</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>Knudsen, Marese</b></td> <td>161</td> <td><b>Suttie, Wendy</b></td> <td>248</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>MacKenzie, Alistair</b></td> <td>168</td> <td><b>Williams, Brian</b></td> <td>271</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>MacKenzie, Millie</b></td> <td>169</td> <td><b>Wilson, Graeme &amp; Jocelyn</b></td> <td>273</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>MacKenzie, Noeline</b></td> <td>171</td> <td><b>Worrall, Simon</b></td> <td>279</td> </tr> <tr> <td><b>McKnight, Irene</b></td> <td>175</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	<b>Gutberlet, Diane</b>	106	<b>Mitchell, Raymond</b>	187	<b>Hall, Nathan</b>	110	<b>Moffatt, John</b>	189	<b>Hampton, Emma</b>	111	<b>Muir, David</b>	193	<b>Harrison, Marion</b>	116	<b>O'Sullivan, Rosemarie</b>	200	<b>Harrison, Syd</b>	118	<b>Page, Viv</b>	202	<b>Herriott, Wendy</b>	120	<b>Prendergast, Desmond</b>	206	<b>Herriott, Rodger</b>	121	<b>Richardson, Caitlin</b>	220	<b>Howells, Gerain</b>	140	<b>Rooke, Charles</b>	221	<b>Huband, Allen</b>	141	<b>Rush, James</b>	223	<b>Keeling, Royston</b>	146	<b>Sheard, Barbara</b>	234	<b>Keenan, Colleen &amp; Leslie</b>	148	<b>Skates, Beverley</b>	235	<b>Kewish, Yvonne</b>	154	<b>Smart, John</b>	241	<b>Knudsen, Marese</b>	161	<b>Suttie, Wendy</b>	248	<b>MacKenzie, Alistair</b>	168	<b>Williams, Brian</b>	271	<b>MacKenzie, Millie</b>	169	<b>Wilson, Graeme &amp; Jocelyn</b>	273	<b>MacKenzie, Noeline</b>	171	<b>Worrall, Simon</b>	279	<b>McKnight, Irene</b>	175			Acknowledged. Please refer to previous comments.
<b>Gutberlet, Diane</b>	106		<b>Mitchell, Raymond</b>	187																																																																			
<b>Hall, Nathan</b>	110		<b>Moffatt, John</b>	189																																																																			
<b>Hampton, Emma</b>	111		<b>Muir, David</b>	193																																																																			
<b>Harrison, Marion</b>	116		<b>O'Sullivan, Rosemarie</b>	200																																																																			
<b>Harrison, Syd</b>	118		<b>Page, Viv</b>	202																																																																			
<b>Herriott, Wendy</b>	120		<b>Prendergast, Desmond</b>	206																																																																			
<b>Herriott, Rodger</b>	121		<b>Richardson, Caitlin</b>	220																																																																			
<b>Howells, Gerain</b>	140		<b>Rooke, Charles</b>	221																																																																			
<b>Huband, Allen</b>	141		<b>Rush, James</b>	223																																																																			
<b>Keeling, Royston</b>	146		<b>Sheard, Barbara</b>	234																																																																			
<b>Keenan, Colleen &amp; Leslie</b>	148		<b>Skates, Beverley</b>	235																																																																			
<b>Kewish, Yvonne</b>	154		<b>Smart, John</b>	241																																																																			
<b>Knudsen, Marese</b>	161		<b>Suttie, Wendy</b>	248																																																																			
<b>MacKenzie, Alistair</b>	168		<b>Williams, Brian</b>	271																																																																			
<b>MacKenzie, Millie</b>	169		<b>Wilson, Graeme &amp; Jocelyn</b>	273																																																																			
<b>MacKenzie, Noeline</b>	171		<b>Worrall, Simon</b>	279																																																																			
<b>McKnight, Irene</b>	175																																																																						
<b>Anonymous 3</b>	10																																																																						
<b>Anonymous 4</b>	11																																																																						
<b>Anonymous 6</b>	13																																																																						
<b>Anonymous 7</b>	14																																																																						
<b>Anonymous 9</b>	16																																																																						
<b>Anonymous 10</b>	17																																																																						
<b>Anonymous 11</b>	18																																																																						
<b>Atkinson, Neil</b>	36																																																																						
<b>Bird, Colin</b>	38																																																																						
<b>Brown, George</b>	44																																																																						
<b>Caldwell, Terrence</b>	48																																																																						
<b>Canterbury Bowls</b>	50																																																																						
<b>Collins, Olive</b>	53																																																																						
<b>Cox, Christina</b>	57																																																																						
<b>Cross, Colin</b>	63																																																																						
<b>Cross, Martin</b>	65																																																																						
<b>Eder, Margaret</b>	74																																																																						
<b>Elliott, Lisa</b>	75																																																																						
<b>Glassey, Brian</b>	91																																																																						
<b>Griffiths, Cheryl</b>	104																																																																						
<b>Skilling, Barbara</b>	237	Opposes the bowling club being moved for the central hub space as the Domain should remain as a garden and sports area.	Acknowledged. Please refer to previous comments.																																																																				
<b>Ashburton Sports Turf Trust</b>	32	Opposed to the bowling club moving to the picnic area / near the hockey turf as it would put pressure on parking.	Car parking demand can be addressed by utilising the disused netball courts.																																																																				
<b>Crooks-Walker, Christine</b>	61	Notes if the bowling club was to be moved, there would need to an attractive option, such as new artificial surface and clubhouse.	Acknowledged.																																																																				

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anderson, Murray</b>	5	Suggests the Central Hub is located either side of the playground or where the Parks Depot currently is.	If an alternative location for the Hub was to be considered, this is an option to explore.
<b>Anstiss, Russell</b>	24	Suggests the Central Hub would be better located where the aviary is.	If an alternative location for the Hub was to be considered, this is an option to explore.
<b>Ashburton Bowling Club Incorporated</b>	27	Suggests the hub is built near the playground, this way children won't have to cross the road, and will be convenient for parents.	If an alternative location for the Hub was to be considered, this is an option to explore.
<b>Kewish, John</b>	152	Scammell notes safety is paramount and the hub needs to be in a position where parents can see their children, not on the other side of the road.	
<b>Lee, Winston</b>	163		
<b>Ryk, Judie</b>	227		
<b>Scammell, Robyn</b>	229		
<b>Wall, Nicola</b>	266		
<b>Wall, Trevor</b>	267		
<b>McLachlan, Jane</b>	177	Doesn't support the proposed position of the central hub space. The proposed location is separate to the playground, and intersected by a car park which is hazardous to children. It is also hidden from main roads that provide after-hours surveillance / security.	A new pedestrian 'spine' path across the carpark would be established to provide a defined and safe crossing point for pedestrians moving between the central hub and playground and water play areas
<b>Atkinson, Neil</b>	36	Suggests the central hub space could be built in the area behind the toilet next to the playground, or instead of the rose garden.	If an alternative location for the Hub was to be considered, an option next to the playground could be explored.
<b>Bird, Colin</b>	38	Suggests the hub be located where the aviary and Parks Depot is.	If an alternative location for the Hub was to be considered, this is an option to explore.
<b>Goodall, Mitch</b>	95		
<b>Herriott, Wendy</b>	120		
<b>Quinn, Alison</b>	215		
<b>Quinn, Peter</b>	216		
<b>Spargo, Leonie</b>	245		



Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Wilson, Graeme &amp; Jocelyn</b>	273		
<b>Anderson, Murray Suttie, Wendy</b>	5 248	Suggests the central hub is built where the Parks Depot currently is.	If an alternative location for the Hub was to be considered, this is an option to explore.
<b>Eder, Margaret</b>	74	Notes if a café area is needed, it should be located beside the playground so parents can have a coffee and keep an eye on their children.	If an alternative location for the Hub was to be considered, this is an option to explore.
<b>Falloon, Shirley</b>	77	Suggests a cafe is put in the area where the aviary currently is.	If an alternative location for the Hub was to be considered, this is an option to explore.
<b>Glasse, Brian Keeling, Royston</b>	91 146	Suggests the hub be placed near the new access road area near Walnut Avenue.	This location would be too isolated from the other parts of the Domain.
<b>Harrison, Marion</b>	116	The hub should be placed near the entrance.	This location would be too isolated from the other parts of the Domain.
<b>Gowan, Florence</b>	98	The hub should be located beside the playground for safety of children	If an alternative location for the Hub was to be considered, this is an option to explore.
<b>Griffiths, Cheryl</b>	104	Suggests the café is located where the Parks Depot currently is.	If an alternative location for the Hub was to be considered, this is an option to explore.
<b>Scott, Pauline</b>	231	The hub should be between the bowling club and Parks Depot.	If an alternative location for the Hub was to be considered, this is an option to explore.
<b>Kinvig, Douglas</b>	159	Suggests placing the central hub in the area occupied by the aviary, notes there would be more parking available here as well.	If an alternative location for the Hub was to be considered, this is an option to explore.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Mowatt, Heather</b> <b>Quaid, Antony</b>	191 214	Believes the aviary would be a better space for the central hub <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parking and the playground will be nearby (Mowatt).</li> <li>• The central hub could look over the bowling greens (Quaid).</li> </ul>	Refer above.
<b>MacKenzie, Alistair</b> <b>MacKenzie, Noeline</b> <b>Pridie, Robin</b> <b>Walker, Kevin</b>	168 171 210 262	Suggests the location of the aviary is used for the proposed central hub space.	Refer above.
<b>Ryk, Jozef</b>	225	Suggests locating the hub at the end of the proposed access road near the playground.	Refer above.
<b>Nora</b>	196	Suggests the central hub space be near the oval so parents can have a meal while children play sports, the café could overlook the pond.	This location would be too isolated from the other parts of the Domain.
<b>Taylor, Graham</b>	250	Suggest the central hub space could be built above the children's playground where caregivers could sit and watch their children play, and be near the paddling pool.	Acknowledged. Locating the central hub close to the playground is desirable.
<b>Howells, Gerain</b>	140	Would prefer the central hub is located at a more central location where it can make the most of the waterways.	Please refer to previous comments about proposed location for central hub.

### 1.1. Playground

The draft plan proposes the playground is upgraded over time as maintenance and natural upgrades occur, with natural and botanical themed structures.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anonymous 1</b> <b>Anonymous 3</b>	7 10	Supports the proposed upgrade of the playground.	Acknowledged. The enhancement of the playground and waterplay proposed

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anonymous 4</b> <b>Anonymous 5</b> <b>Anonymous 16</b> <b>Artz, Laretta</b> <b>Ashburton Youth Council</b> <b>Brown, George</b> <b>Crahay, Julie</b>	11 12 23 26 34 44 58	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Suggests Australian playgrounds are looked at for suggestions (Anonymous 3).</li> <li>It will attract more people and encourage family days out (AYC).</li> </ul>	within the ADDP will cater for families and younger users of the Domain.
<b>Craig, Ann</b> <b>Crooks-Walker, Christine</b>	59 61	Submitters support the proposed children's area.	Acknowledged.
<b>Kilworth, Robin</b>	156	Notes the playground project will enhance experiences for all age groups and goes well with an enhanced aviary.	The intent of the ADDP is that the playground and aviary enhancement are developed with all ages in mind and providing different forms of active and experiential play.
<b>Kara Thorpe</b>	144	Requests a baby area in the playground, a trampoline for children and more activities.	The enhancement of the existing playground proposed within the ADDP could cater for a broad range of ages.
<b>Ashburton Cancer Support Group</b>	28	Notes the climate can be windy, changeable and temperate, so shade around the proposed playground must block UVR but not the transmission of light or heat.	<p>The addition of shade structures or new tree plantings within the playground areas is supported.</p> <p>Further conceptual and detailed design for the play area would be completed to refine the enhancements and additions to be made.</p>

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>The Cancer Society</b>	251	Endorses the planned area for children, visitors and community to have a no cost, fun recreational area to play and be active. Notes while the Domain area has shade from trees, the existing playground and BBQ picnic area is poorly protected.	Refer above.
<b>Harrison, Hayley</b>	115	Requests the playground is made suitable for children of all abilities - including those with disabilities. Notes that bark and wheelchairs are not compatible.	The addition of accessible equipment and accessible pathways through the play area is supported.  Further conceptual and detailed design for the play area would be completed to refine the enhancements and additions to be made.
<b>Phoenix Preschool</b>	204	Requests mobility friendly access is provided to the playground (bark is not wheelchair friendly); <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• play equipment should meet a range of ages and abilities and requests more play equipment for under 2s;</li> <li>• visibility is considered in the design - it is important that children can be seen by their caregivers / supervisors when playing;</li> <li>• that the current tractor in the playground be retained.</li> </ul>	The addition of accessible equipment and pathways, and equipment for all ages in the play area is supported.  The intent is to keep and enhance the best of the existing equipment (e.g. repaint) while adding new equipment as funds allow.  Further conceptual and detailed design for the play area would be completed to refine the enhancements and additions to be made.
<b>Walker, Laraine</b>	264	Requests the play area includes features for the disabled community (wheelchair, hearing, sight).	Refer above.
<b>Anonymous 9</b>	16	Suggests the playground (along with the paddling pool) be moved to the proposed area for the bowling club. Notes it is well used and supports new equipment.	Retaining existing playground location is preferred.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<i>Other</i>			
<b>NZ Trees for Bees</b>	197	Suggests including BBQ units by the flying fox and playgrounds.	The intention of the ADDP is that the existing provision of a public BBQ facility by the playground is maintained going forward.

## 1.2. Water play

The children's paddling pool is currently located by the toilets at the corner of Walnut Avenue and SH1. The draft plan proposes replacing this pool with a new water play and paddling pool area next to the playground.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anonymous 16</b>	23	Submitters support the proposed water play area next to the playground.	The ADDP proposes to retain the existing toilets at Walnut Ave Corner.
<b>Ashburton Youth Council</b>	34	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This is the most important project as the paddling pool is a special place for families and the only free pool in town (Emma).</li> </ul>	
<b>Emma Walker, Laraine</b>	76	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requests the age limit is increased to 14 years (Walker).</li> </ul>	
<b>Wall, Nicola</b>	264	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requests the toilets at the Walnut Ave corner are kept for passing tourists (Warren).</li> </ul>	
<b>Warren, Johanna</b>	266		
<b>Kara Thorpe</b>	269	Requests more pools - like the Margaret Mahy park in Christchurch.	The Margaret Mahy water play area is a useful inspiration, but the Ashburton Domain water play area would need to be at a smaller scale due to available budget. Further conceptual and detailed design for the water play area would be completed to define the scope and layout.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Ashburton Cancer Support Group The Cancer Society</b>	28  251	Believes this project is instrumental in providing up to date and purpose built design. Notes careful attention needs to be made when designing and incorporating shade for this area, as at present the paddling pool shade area is substandard and ineffective.	The addition of shade structures within the water play area is supported. Further conceptual and detailed design for the water play area would be completed to define the scope and layout.
<b>Craig, Ann Crooks-Walker, Christine</b>	59 61	Submitters support the proposed children's area.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anderson, Murray Anonymous 3 Anonymous 4 Ashburton Bowling Club Incorporated Eder, Gavin Eder, Margaret Glasse, Brian Gutberlet, Diane Knudsen, Marese Quinn, Peter Ryk, Jo Ryk, Judie Wilson, Graeme &amp; Jocelyn</b>	5 10 11 27  73 74 91 106 161 216 225 227 273	Submitters oppose moving the paddling pool for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is fine where it is (Anderson, Ryk)</li> <li>• It is close to the toilets (Ashburton Bowling Club, M Eder, Ryk, Anonymous 4)</li> <li>• Notes concern for the loss of the tourist toilets at the entrance (Anonymous 4).</li> <li>• Money could be prioritised for other projects (G Eder)</li> <li>• It has easy access to the changing room (M Eder)</li> <li>• It is next to shade (Ryk)</li> <li>• Due to the cost (Glasse)</li> <li>• It is in the perfect position with parking, toilets and viewing for carers (Quinn)</li> <li>• 'Keep it simple' (Wilson)</li> </ul>	The existing paddling pool is reaching an age where significant work is required. It is proposed to replace it with a new water play and paddling pool facility co-located with the children's playground area.  The existing toilets at the Walnut Ave corner will be retained.  The addition of changing room facilities next to new water play area is supported. This could perhaps be an extension of the existing toilet block facility.  Further conceptual and detailed design for the water play area would be completed to define the scope and layout.
<b>Scott, Pauline</b>	231	Supports an upgrade of the paddling pool, but would prefer it is kept as a paddling pool. It would be expensive to move and the waterplay features are unnecessary when we already have EANC. The current area already has fencing, toilets and a picnic area.	Please refer to previous comments. The current paddling pool is well utilised despite the facilities at the EANC. Similarly, it is envisaged that an outdoor water play area in the domain would also be well utilised.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Hore, Simon</b>	138	Opposes the implementation of a water play area as it would require a lot of maintenance to keep running, and to keep safe for people to use. Questions who would maintain this as well as whose budget it would come out of?  Notes water playgrounds can increase injuries and transmissions of water borne illnesses. If installed, a showering area is recommended for the public to wash before and after using.	Acknowledged. An outdoor showering facility could be incorporated. Further conceptual and detailed design for the water play area would be completed to define the scope and layout.
<b>Kewish, John</b> <b>Kewish, Yvonne</b>	152 154	Opposes the paddling pool being removed.	Acknowledged. Please refer to previous comments regarding moving the paddling pool.
<b>Anonymous 9</b>	16	Suggests the paddling pool (along with the playground) be moved to the proposed area for the bowling club.	Acknowledged, however this location would be too far from the proposed location for the Central Hub and ideally, these activities should be co-located.

### 1.3. Aviary

The draft plan proposes upgrading the aviary and wildlife gardens to increase linkages with the central hub space and playground areas, and to increase the opportunities for interaction through informal ‘nature play’ elements, technology and interactive design features.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<i>Aviary</i>			
<b>Anonymous 1</b>	7	Submitters support the proposed upgrades to the aviary.	Acknowledged. Further conceptual and detailed design for the aviary and wildlife area would be completed to define the scope and layout.
<b>Anonymous 2</b>	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There is no sunlight in the enclosure, and the cages are small (Anonymous 2).</li> </ul>	
<b>Anonymous 4</b>	11		
<b>Anonymous 5</b>	12		
<b>Anonymous 9</b>	16		

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Ashburton Youth Council</b>	34	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Notes the aviary must continue to be maintained. Also requests information about the birds on the fence (Field).</li> </ul>	
<b>Field, Shelagh</b>	78		
<b>Herriott, Rodger</b>	121		
<b>Kewish, Yvonne</b>	154		
<b>Hore, Simon</b>	138	Supports enhancing the aviary, however, opposes the idea of making it too much of a play area as this would add stress to the birds.	Agree that well considered placement of play elements in this area is important. The intent for play elements here is that they would be 'natural play' and educational elements sympathetic to the aviary & wildlife themes
<b>Sheard, Barbara</b>	234	Believes the aviary should be cleaned up and the birds put into a grassed large enclosure.	Acknowledged. This will be considered during the implementation of the ADDP i.e. conceptual and detailed design phase.
<b>Scott, Pauline</b>	231	Believes the aviary could remain where it is, but questions the necessity of the 'peacock area'. Suggests it includes information about the birds – what they are, where they are from etc.	Acknowledged. This will be considered during the implementation of the ADDP i.e. conceptual and detailed design phase.
<b>Hanrahan, Michael</b>	5	Requests improvements in the care of the aviary, or that the aviary is removed.	Acknowledged. This will be considered during the implementation of the ADDP i.e. conceptual and detailed design phase.
<b>Ratten, Leo</b>	217	Notes the aviary is sad, it should be enhanced properly or not at all.	Acknowledged.
<b>Falloon, Shirley</b>	77	<p>Submitters are opposed to the suggested upgrades to the aviary, rather suggest it is removed altogether.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Keeping birds in captivity is outdated in 2020 and the birds aren't receiving the care they require. Notes the only way birds should be kept in captivity would be if they were in a big bird park where their natural environment is replicated (Falloon).</li> </ul>	<p>The aviary is a major attraction of the Domain.</p> <p>The ADDP intent is to update and naturalise this area to give focus to broader wildlife (flora and fauna), as well as the aviary birds.</p>
<b>Forest &amp; Bird</b>	83		
<b>Gibbs, Alison</b>	86		
<b>Girvan, Jackie</b>	89		
<b>Griffiths, Cheryl</b>	104		
<b>Gutberlet, Diane</b>	106		
<b>Kewish, John</b>	152		



Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Kinvig, Douglas</b> <b>Quinn, Alison</b> <b>Quinn, Peter</b> <b>Wood, Pearl</b>	159 215 216 277	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is not a specialist aviary and a very unnatural environment, it would be expensive to maintain. Suggests focussing on native vegetation trees and shrubs to attract native birdlife. (Forest &amp; Bird)</li> <li>It cannot be considered to be functioning well for the birds (Girvan).</li> <li>Birds should not be in cages (Griffiths, A Quinn).</li> <li>It is in poor condition (Gutberlet).</li> <li>It is grey, bare, neglected and uninviting (Wood).</li> </ul>	<p>There will be a focus on biodiversity and significance of native species.</p> <p>Further conceptual and detailed design for the aviary and wildlife area would be completed to define the scope and layout.</p>
<b>Fleming, Rosalie</b> <b>Wilson, Graeme &amp; Jocelyn</b>	81 273	<p>Submitters do not believe this project is important</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>the Domain is no place for caged birds (Fleming)</li> <li>the current aviary is continuously vandalised (Wilson).</li> </ul>	Acknowledged.
<b>Keenan, Colleen &amp; Leslie</b> <b>Mowatt, Heather</b> <b>Walker, Laraine</b> <b>Wall, Nicola</b>	148 191 264 266	<p>Submitters suggest the aviary is removed and replaced with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a café (Keenan)</li> <li>the central hub (Mowatt)</li> <li>farmers markets , fetes and fairs (Wall)</li> <li>a wild garden for bees (Walker)</li> </ul>	If an alternative location for the Hub was to be considered, this is an option to explore.
<b>Hampton, Emma</b>	111	Notes the birds are lovely but the cages are ugly and old, suggests a rethink of this project.	Acknowledged. The ADDP proposes to enhance this area.
<b>Craig, Ann</b> <b>Holder-Day, Kay</b>	59 137	Notes the cockatoo cage is cold and the water pond dirty.	Acknowledged. The ADDP proposes to enhance this area.
<b>Crooks-Walker, Christine</b>	61	Suggests moving the aviary as it is an eyesore.	Acknowledged. The ADDP proposes to enhance this area.
<b>Spargo, Leonie</b>	245	Suggests re-siting the aviary as they would like it to be part of the central hub. Notes the aviary is unattractive as is the surrounding area.	The co-location & integrated design of the aviary and central hub could be considered (subject to where the hub is located).

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<i>Wildlife gardens</i>			
<b>NZ Trees for Bees</b>	197	Notes in and around the aviaries, the planting could be focused for insect biodiversity. The NZ Trees for Bees Research Trust team has created documents to assist in this.	Acknowledged. The ADDP supports this goal.
<b>Girvan, Jackie</b>	89	Wildlife gardens and native trees are important to attract birds.	Acknowledged.
<b>Stevenson, Denise</b>	247	Notes how fantastic the wild gardens near the aviary are for creating interest for tamariki.	Acknowledged.
<b>McKnight, Irene</b>	175	Supports improving the area around the aviary.	Acknowledged.
<b>Kewish, Yvonne</b>	154	Area surrounding the aviary needs tidying up.	Acknowledged.
<b>Hore, Simon</b>	138	Supports enhancing the wildlife play area, however opposes the idea of making it too much of a play area as this would deter wildlife from the area.	Agree that well considered placement of play elements in this area is important. The intent for play elements here is that they would be 'natural play' and educational elements sympathetic to the wildlife theme.
<b>Griffiths, Cheryl</b>	104	Opposes the 'wildlife area' - notes if wildlife naturally comes in then it is good, but wildlife shouldn't be introduced.	Acknowledged. Only a wildlife garden is proposed.
<b>Gibbs, Alison</b>	86	Suggests removing the wildlife garden.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 9</b>	16	The wild garden should be removed as it makes the aviary look untidy.	Acknowledged. Wildlife gardens are inherently 'untidy'.

## 1.4. Parks Depot

The draft plan proposes to, over time, move most of the Parks Depot out of the Domain, with only the equipment and staff required to operate and maintain the Domain remaining. This would allow some of the existing buildings to be removed, with the space becoming a gardens precinct with demonstration and display gardens, illustrating the story of our district, its botanical history, culture, and development.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anderson, Murray</b>	5	Submitters support the Parks Depot being moved.	Acknowledged.
<b>Brown, George</b>	44	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• They take up a lot of car parks (Skates, Spargo).</li> </ul>	
<b>Cox, Christina</b>	57	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suggest it is moved to South Street (Cross, Skates).</li> </ul>	
<b>Cross, Colin</b>	63	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Suggest it is moved to the proposed site for the bowling club on Grigg Street (Spargo)</li> </ul>	
<b>Cross, Martin</b>	65		
<b>Driscoll, Alison</b>	71	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It will allow the development of more carparks (Taylor)</li> </ul>	
<b>Griffiths, Cheryl</b>	104		
<b>Gutberlet, Diane</b>	106		
<b>Herriott, Rodger</b>	121		
<b>Kewish, John</b>	152		
<b>Kewish, Yvonne</b>	154		
<b>Lowe, Russell</b>	165		
<b>Quinn, Alison</b>	215		
<b>Quinn, Peter</b>	216		
<b>Skates, Beverley</b>	235		
<b>Spargo, Leonie</b>	245		
<b>Suttie, Wendy</b>	248		
<b>Taylor, Graham</b>	250		
<b>Hore, Simon</b>	138	Supports decreasing the size of the Parks Depot and creating more car parks - this would allow more people to use the playgrounds and have parks closer to the paddling pool area.	Acknowledged. This is generally consistent with the objectives of the ADDP.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Brown, George</b>	44	Supports replacement with glasshouse propagation for educational purposes.	The ADDP proposes some of the existing glasshouses could be repurposed for community & educational use.
<b>Craig, Ann Holder-Day, Kay</b>	59 137	Requests a greenhouse for frost intolerant plants.	Acknowledged.
<b>Driscoll, Alison</b>	71	Supports the development of an education-based space for gardening, propagation, vegetable growing etc in the Parks Depot space, alongside the aviary / wildlife garden. This could be used by a large range of people, from young through too old for educational purposes. Dedicated staff to run educational programmes would further enhance this project. Great opportunity to develop the domain into not just somewhere you visit, but somewhere to learn.	Acknowledged. The ADDP supports this goal.
<b>McKnight, Irene</b>	175	Requests the area around the Parks Depot is tidied up.	Acknowledged.
<b>Glasse, Brian</b>	91	Believes the Parks depot should remain where it is as it is more cost effective to have this area on site.	Further exploration of scope and extent of Parks Depot relocation would be carried out.

### 1.5. Walnut Ave Promenade

A broad, shared pathway for both pedestrians and cyclists is proposed along the length of Walnut Avenue on the park edge. The path would contain 'nodes' of activity, such as the exiting fitness trail, public art and sculptures, gardens and seating.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anonymous 15 Ashburton Youth Council Driscoll, Alison</b>	22 34 71	Submitters support the proposed Walnut Avenue Promenade for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It will attract more people and encourage family days out (AYC).</li> </ul>	Acknowledged.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Hore, Simon</b> <b>Kathy</b> <b>Ministry Of Education</b> <b>Oakley, David</b> <b>Walker, Laraine</b>	138 145 182  199 264	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Many people walk / run / cycle on the road, and a promenade would take people off the street. It would also enhance the present pathways and provide greater route variety (Driscoll).</li> <li>it has the potential to improve access alongside the Domain, improve amenity and recreational opportunities, and provide stronger connections between the college and Domain (MOE).</li> <li>the area is unattractive at present and the plans would increase public utilisation of the area - providing it does not disadvantage the sports groups currently utilising the area (Oakley).</li> <li>Requests bollards with lights for safety at night (Anonymous 15)</li> </ul>	
<b>Elliott, Lisa</b>	75	Supports a sealed walking track, with lights, around the perimeter of the Domain.	The ADDP intent for Walnut Ave Promenade is a sealed pathway. Lighting could be included in association with the existing street lighting.
<b>Gormly, Alisdair</b>	96	Supports the idea of a sealed walking track around the Domain. There is currently only a sealed track through the Domain, but not to take you back where you started. Gravel / grass / bare earth are difficult for wheelchairs and prams. Requests pathways for the less physically able.	Acknowledged. This will be considered during the implementation of the ADDP i.e. conceptual and detailed design phase.
<b>Griffiths, Cheryl</b> <b>Kilworth, Robin</b> <b>Scott, Pauline</b>	104 156 231	Submitters oppose the Walnut Avenue Promenade for the following reasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Opposes the inclusion of manmade structures - such as wooden or concrete paths / walkways. The Domain will end up looking like every other 'new' park (Griffiths).</li> <li>Both a promenade and a footpath along Walnut Ave are unnecessary (Scott).</li> <li>Detracts from the open space and takes away from the green edge look of the Domain (Kilworth).</li> </ul>	The design of the promenade could be completed in a way that is sensitive to the existing park environment – for example working around the existing trees, adding new plantings etc.

## 1.6. New entry and access way

With NZTA looking to change the Walnut Avenue roundabout to traffic lights, it will not be possible to turn right into the current entrance. The draft plan, therefore, suggests a new entrance way is built from Walnut Avenue next to the current tree line by the cricket field.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anderson, Murray</b>	5	Submitters support the new entrance way into the Domain off Walnut Avenue.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 3</b>	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It will set the scene for future traffic movements and developments in this area (Anderson).</li> </ul>	
<b>Anonymous 14</b>	21		
<b>Ashburton Youth Council</b>	34	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The current entrance can be hard to use in peak traffic (AYC).</li> </ul>	
<b>Collie, Douglas</b>	52	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reduce congestion on SH1 (Collie, Kathy)</li> </ul>	
<b>Crooks-Walker, Christine</b>	61	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The area need more than one entry – although would fix access way and parking space at EANC first (Dalton)</li> </ul>	
<b>Dalton, Murray</b>	67	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Makes sense being close to the toilets, playground, oval etc (Glassey).</li> </ul>	
<b>Fleming, Rosalie</b>	81	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Will increase safety / easier to turn in (Anonymous 3, Anonymous 14, Griffiths, Quinn)</li> </ul>	
<b>Glassey, Brian</b>	91		
<b>Griffiths, Cheryl</b>	104		
<b>Hampton, Emma</b>	111		
<b>Kathy</b>	145		
<b>Lysaght, Daniel</b>	167		
<b>Nora</b>	196		
<b>Pridie, Robin</b>	210		
<b>Quinn, Alison</b>	215		
<b>Quinn, Peter</b>	216		
<b>Reid, James</b>	219		
<b>Wallace, Vernon</b>	268		
<b>Warren, Johanna</b>	269		
<b>Gormly, Alisdair</b>	96	Notes the current access is difficult for vehicles due to its poor visibility, narrowness and proximity to the roundabout. There is also no pedestrian access at this entry.	Acknowledged. The ADDP proposes a new pedestrian path at this entrance.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Kilworth, Robin</b>	156	Notes concern it could become a 'racetrack' if both entrances are open at the same time.	Traffic calming measures would be used to slow traffic on the Access Road.
<b>Ministry Of Education</b>	182	Notes the new entrance to the Domain has the potential to create more traffic and safety issues for the nearby school.	Further design would be completed to analyse the traffic and safety requirements for the new entrance road and implications for Walnut Avenue traffic.
<b>Anonymous 13</b>	20	Opposes the new access road off Walnut Ave as the road is heavily congested with children coming and going from school, so increasing traffic in this area is unwise. Suggests the main entrance to be closer to Wills Street.	Difficult to see a logical location for positioning new road entrance off SH1 closer to Wills Street given SH1 traffic and significant disruption is would create in Domain.
<b>Phoenix Preschool</b>	204	Supports the inclusion of a crossing outside Ashburton College. This crossing must be accessible for wheelchairs and mobility scooters as there is a rest home nearby. Requests they are advised of the timetable for completion of the crossing, and to be consulted as to the specific location.	Further design would be completed to analyse the traffic and safety requirements for the new entrance road and implications for Walnut Avenue including any new crossing or pedestrian refuge facility over to Ashburton College.
<b>BECA on behalf of FENZ</b>	258	FENZ would like to be involved in the design of the developments within the Domain progresses. FENZ are typically concerned with access ways into and around the site and access to water supply within the site.	Further design would be completed to analyse the fire access requirements for the new entrance road.

## 1.7. Pedestrian crossing over SH1 at Wills Street corner

To improve the connectivity between the town and the Domain, a push button crossing is proposed across SH1 at the bottom of the footbridge, or at a minimum, a pedestrian refuge.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anonymous 14</b> <b>Artz, Laretta</b> <b>Forest &amp; Bird</b> <b>Gormly, Alisdair</b> <b>Hore, Simon</b> <b>Ministry Of Education</b> <b>Twamley, Rosie</b>	21 26 83 96 138 182 257	Submitters support the proposed pedestrian crossing / traffic lights across SH1 at the Will St corner. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Kids use the bridge often and it can be difficult to cross (Artz).</li> <li>• This should be a priority (Forest &amp; Bird).</li> <li>• Getting from the town to the Domain is difficult (Gormly).</li> <li>• Many people use this place to cross and it is quite dangerous (Hore).</li> <li>• Improve safety for school children and enable more opportunities for cycling and pedestrian access (MOE).</li> <li>• Improve safety (Anonymous 14).</li> </ul>	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 15</b>	22	Supports pedestrian crossing but not traffic lights – lights take too long to change and will cause traffic to get backed up.	Further discussion is needed with NZTA on what is achievable here.
<b>Warren, Johanna</b>	269	Supports improved safety for pedestrians crossing SH1 at Wills Street – also requests a crossing at the Walnut Avenue corner.  As an alternative to a crossing, suggests a pedestrian bridge over SH1.	Could be explored if desired. Further discussion is needed with NZTA on what is achievable here. Relationship to existing heritage railway bridge would need to be factored in.
<b>Wood, Edward</b> <b>Wood, Pearl</b>	275 277	Suggests a pedestrian crossing may be difficult – suggests a pedestrian bridge over SH1 instead.	Refer above.
<b>Anonymous 9</b>	16	Doesn't believe NZTA will ever allow traffic lights to be installed across SH1.	Further discussion is needed with NZTA on what is achievable here.



Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Bishop, David</b>	39	Queries whether and consideration has been given to closing the Wills Street / West Street intersection and making it pedestrian only - to support linkages with the CBD.	The ADDP proposes improving safe pedestrian & cycle access across Wills Street at the intersection to support the link through to the CBD, while still retaining vehicle movement.  Full closure of Wills Street has not been considered to date.

### 1.8. Plaza

The draft plan proposes to push out the kerb line on the road corner of SH1 and Wills Street, and reduce the size of the flower beds to provide more space for pedestrians around the pond edge. New seating, cycle parking and planting is proposed for this area.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Ministry of Education</b>	182	Supports the southern corner plaza and extension of the kerb line to provide more room for pedestrians. These changes may impact the school by improving safety across SH1 and enabling more opportunities for cycling and pedestrian access.	Acknowledged.
<b>Hanrahan, Michael</b>	112	Requests the pigeons are removed as they will ruin the southern corner and band rotunda project.	Acknowledged.
<b>Quaid, Antony</b>	214	Opposed to the plaza project as it would destroy the flower display that may people stop to admire and take photos of. Suggests perennials are planted rather than annuals to reduce costs of the flower beds.	New flower display areas could be included within the plaza design.

## 1.9. Waterway enhancements

A series of waterway enhancements are proposed in the draft plan, including:

- a network of pathways around the waterway
- a water’s edge boardwalk between the island and waterwheel
- addition of a fountain within the pond at the southeast entrance to the Domain
- repair and strengthening work to pond edges where they are worn out
- new planting along the length of the waterway and in the existing ‘wilderness’ area to the east of the old bathing pond with both native and exotic plants.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Bishop, David</b>	39	Supports the waterways being upgraded.	<p>The waterways within the Domain is one of its key features.</p> <p>The intent of the ADDP is for new planting along the waterways to provide blocks of native riparian planting where appropriate to increase biodiversity and habitat. In other areas exotic waterside planting could be appropriate in keeping with the exotic botanical collection that forms the Domain.</p> <p>Invasive species would not be used.</p>
<b>Brown, George</b>	44	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improving the state of the waterways through increased water flow will enhance the appearance – the duck and pigeon population will also need to be controlled (Bishop).</li> </ul>	
<b>Collie, Douglas</b>	52		
<b>Craig, Ann</b>	59		
<b>Emma</b>	76	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notes the trees overhanging the waterways need trimming (Collie).</li> </ul>	
<b>Forest &amp; Bird</b>	83	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rubbish and other mess make it look both dirty and unkempt. Notes the edges aren’t kept in good shape and the bushes are not trimmed (Holder-Day)</li> </ul>	
<b>Holder-Day, Kay</b>	137		
<b>Kathy</b>	145	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Will enhance the natural beauty of the Domain (Kathy).</li> </ul>	
<b>Nora</b>	196	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The waterway is currently clogged and dirty - needs cleaning and some flow to it (Ratten).</li> </ul>	
<b>Ratten, Leo</b>	217	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notes the waterway needs to be cleaned for them to be a highlight (Quinn).</li> <li>• The waterways are an ideal natural focus and looks forward to their development. Recommends Carex secta is planted alongside the waterway to deter mallards and encourage NZ endemic diving duck. Requests only natives are planted alongside the waterways – no invasive introduced plants (Forest &amp; Bird)</li> </ul>	

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>They currently look a mess with rubbish and branches, the edges raggedy and buses not trimmed (Craig).</li> </ul>	
<b>Artz, Laretta</b>	26	Supports the water feature project in the plan.	Acknowledged.
<b>Ashburton Sports Turf Trust</b>	32	Supports the waterway enhancements near the turf as these will improve access to the hockey turf.	Acknowledged.
<b>Mid Canterbury Cricket Association</b>	180	Notes the waterway to the west of the Oval is an eyesore and makes cricket balls difficult to recover. Suggests remodelling the waterway and moving the proposed pathway beside gardens or other non-water displays.	Acknowledged. Improvements to the waterways are proposed.
<b>Twamley, Rosie</b>	257	Supports the seating around the waterway as this will create a hub, similar to the terrace in Christchurch - bringing the Domain into the 21st century.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 15</b>	22	Opposed to the proposed boardwalk near the pond, due to them being slippery, covered in duck poop, and requiring regular maintenance.	Suitable materials and finishes, and maintenance regimes would be considered during future design phases.
<b>Brown, George</b>	44	Notes boarded walkways are expensive and can be dangerous when frosty and/or wet.	Suitable materials and finishes, and maintenance regimes would be considered during future design phases.
<b>Quaid, Antony</b>	214	Waterway enhancement is important, however, opposes the planned boardwalk and concrete structures around the lower pond. Installing this boardwalk would require the removal of long established trees and would take away from a natural look. The maintenance to keep them clean would also be high.	The design intent of the ADDP would be to work around the established trees as much as possible. Suitable materials and finishes, and maintenance regimes would be considered during future design phases.
<b>Hampton, Emma</b>	111	Notes the Wills St entrance is a noisy and often drafty cold corner, and the traffic takes away the peace and quiet - therefore, wouldn't sit there. Also, the ducks will be an issue and the water quality in the ponds is unattractive.	Acknowledged.
<b>Griffiths, Cheryl</b>	104	Opposes the inclusion of manmade structures - such as wooden or concrete paths / walkways. The Domain will end up looking like every other 'new' park.	A mixture of natural and man-made structures are proposed.

### 1.10. Band rotunda / kiosk

The draft plan includes a band rotunda or kiosk on the edge of the water to enhance the appeal of the southern corner. The rotunda would provide sheltered seating and allow people to get close to the water, and contain information about the Domain and gardens. It could also double as a coffee kiosk.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Twamley, Rosie</b>	257	Supports the band rotunda project.	Acknowledged.
<b>Driscoll, Alison</b>	71	Supports the band rotunda project, rather than the central hub space, near the Wills St / SH1 corner. Suggests the band rotunda could include directional information and toilets. Requests a site for food trucks / mobile cafes is developed next to the band rotunda - this would prevent competition from another cafe being opened, and allow opportunities for local food operators. Vehicle access would need to be provided from SH1 to capture passing traffic. Proximity to the playground would also be beneficial.	Providing vehicle access to this part of the Domain is not considered to be appropriate. The intent is to retain the existing layby area further to the north which could have food truck or coffee cart (as currently provided).
<b>Brown, George</b>	44	Likes the idea of a kiosk, but struggles to the economics of this. Suggests having an area that mobile coffee or food wagons could operate from instead.	Further design and exploration is needed to determine what operations are incorporated into the band rotunda and whether a commercial operation (e.g. small scale coffee kiosk) is practical here.
<b>Warren, Johanna</b>	269	Suggests a rethink of the band rotunda location to a more 'civilised' area. Submitter enjoys the wild aspect of the bush walkway where the rotunda is proposed to go.  Suggests a wilderness area is created for younger children in this location instead.	The band rotunda is some distance from the 'bush walkway'.
<b>Hampton, Emma</b>	111	Notes the ducks and traffic noise will be an issue, suggests developing an area further into the domain by the residential houses on Wills St. There used to be a rotunda in the Domain which was home to many pigeons.	The intent of the ADDP is that the band rotunda provides a focal point for the southern plaza area and where it can also draw people into the Domain.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Wood, Edward</b>	275	Notes a band rotunda should not be near SH1 as there is too much noise.	Acknowledged.
<b>Reid, James</b>	219	Unsure of the band rotunda / kiosk project - isn't sure it would get much use.	Acknowledged.
<b>Field, Shelagh</b> <b>Scott, Pauline</b>	78 231	Opposed to the inclusion of a band rotunda / kiosk. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not believe more cafes are required (Scott)</li> <li>People bring their own picnics (Field).</li> </ul>	Acknowledged.
<b>Quaid, Antony</b>	214	Opposed to the kiosk/band rotunda on the eastern side of the lower pond. If a bank rotunda is deemed necessary, suggests it is placed where the usual band concerts are held on the long lawn.	Acknowledged, however the intent of the ADDP is that the band rotunda provides a focal point for the southern plaza area where it can also draw people into the Domain.

### 1.11. West corner of the Domain

A second entry to the Domain is proposed in the draft plan, at the corner of Grigg and Elizabeth Streets just south of the hospital complex and beside the Curator's House. The entrance would provide access to the disused netball courts which could be used as a car parking area.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<i>West entry / exit and car park</i>			
<b>Ashburton Sports Turf Trust</b>	32	Supports the upgrade of the netball courts to car parking. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Notes the importance of this project so that the hospital and Domain are not competing for the same car parks (McLachlan),</li> </ul>	Acknowledged.
<b>Hore, Simon</b>	138		
<b>McLachlan, Jane</b>	177		
<b>Reid, James</b>	219		
<b>Waireka Croquet Club</b>	259	Has no objection to the proposal to turn the current unused netball courts into a car park. However, requests they are included in the discussions when the details	Acknowledged. The provision of a partial low fence or hedge could be considered,

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
		of this project are finalised. Has some concern for security of the croquet club with the position of the exit roads, and suggests a fence is installed between the carpark and the croquet courts northern boundary.	however it is desirable to maintain open views and accessibility, rather than fully enclose further spaces within the Domain.
<b>Hampton, Emma</b>	111	Notes the proposed carpark on the old netball courts are best suited for the croquet and hockey clubs.	Acknowledged.
<b>Scott, Pauline</b>	231	Notes the netball courts are well used for basketball, learning to ride bikes, skating etc, but is currently dangerous due to being overgrown with weeds. Requests maintenance is kept up to this area.	Acknowledged.
<i>Other west corner projects</i>			
<b>McLachlan, Jane</b>	177	The proposed area for the bowling club (the picnic and BBQ area) should be preserved without buildings at all costs.	
<b>Ashburton Sports Turf Trust</b>	32	Questions why the cricket pavilion and other buildings are being enhanced, when the hockey, tennis and bowling club have constructed and maintained their buildings at their own expense.	The cricket pavilion project relates to the existing ADC budget to upgrade this facility.
<b>Field, Shelagh</b>	78	Opposes using the Curator's House as a gallery - notes we have an Art Gallery already.	There is a range of possibilities for the Curators House. Further analysis and discussion is needed going forward to determine its best use & configuration.
<b>Ashburton Sports Turf Trust</b>	32	Would like the area between the hockey turf and Tennis Centre to be available for extensions to the turf.	Acknowledged.
<b>Hampton, Emma</b>	111	Notes the football pavilion hasn't been utilised, and requests Council works with the sports clubs.	Acknowledged.
<b>Kilworth, Robin</b>	156	Notes the Cricket Pavilion and stage area are in need of better design and refurbishment.	Acknowledged. Council has already allocated funding for its refurbishment.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Mid Canterbury Cricket Association</b>	180	Supports the pavilion enhancement as it would make the oval much more welcoming and professional for visiting and local teams. Notes the importance to make the environment around the pavilion suitable as well.  Notes the artificial wickets are an important part of the cricket landscape in Mid Canterbury, and they do not support any plan resulting in the loss of these wickets.	Acknowledged.

### 1.12. Pathway and bridge enhancements

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<i>New pathways / improvements</i>			
<b>Artz, Laretta</b>	26	Submitters support the pathway improvements.  New pathways will create better connectivity and access to and around the domain (MOE).	Acknowledged.
<b>Ministry Of Education</b>	182		
<b>Wallace, Vernon</b>	269		
<b>Gormly, Alisdair</b>	96	Supports the idea of a sealed walking track around the Domain. There is currently only a sealed track through the Domain, but not to take you back where you started. Gravel / grass / bare earth are difficult for wheelchairs and prams. Requests pathways for the less physically able.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 15</b>	22	Supports the paved walking / biking track around the perimeter of the Domain. Requests bollards with lights for safety at night.	Acknowledged. Refer to previous comments.
<b>Hore, Simon</b>	138	Supports new pathways down West Street. There are currently no pathways near the exterior of the park. Installation of the pathways should also take into consideration who will use it - such as width and material.	Acknowledged.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Field, Shelagh</b>	78	Supports upgrading the pathways but requests 'modern' pathways aren't introduced - rather pathways that keep with the character of the Domain.	The ADDP proposes material selection that is in keeping with the existing landscape character of the Domain.
<b>Scott, Pauline</b>	231	An upgrade of the current footpaths throughout the Domain would be sufficient, and no more footpaths are required.	The ADDP has identified numerous desire lines where people walk through the domain but there are no current paths. New paths are proposed to meet these demands.
<b>Crooks-Walker, Christine</b>	61	Notes the walking areas are currently good.	Acknowledged. Refer to previous comments.
<b>Anonymous 16</b>	23	Does not believe new pathways are needed as the existing ones are fine.	Acknowledged. Refer to previous comments.
<b>Griffiths, Cheryl</b>	104	Opposes the inclusion of manmade structures - such as wooden or concrete paths / walkways. The Domain will end up looking like every other 'new' park.	The ADDP proposes material selection that is in keeping with the existing landscape character of the Domain.
<b>Nora</b>	196	Disagrees with the pathway by the stonewall - it looks natural and nice with daffodils, no need for higher maintenance.	The ADDP has identified numerous desire lines where people walk through the domain but there are no current paths. New paths are proposed to meet these demands.
<i>Bridge enhancements</i>			
<b>Artz, Laretta</b>	23	Supports improvements to the wooden bridges.	Acknowledged.
<b>Nora</b>	196		
<b>Reid, James</b>	219	Supports improvements to the bridges as they are due for replacement.	Acknowledged.
<b>Ashburton Sports Turf Trust</b>	32	Supports the bridge enhancements near the turf as these will improve access to the hockey turf.	Acknowledged.



### 1.13. Feedback on other components of the plan

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<i>Native plantings</i>			
<b>Forest &amp; Bird</b>	83	Native biodiversity should be a priority, including using only natives along the waterways. Looks forward to seeing the wetlands featuring wetland biodiversity prior to the drainage of swamps.	The intent of the ADDP is for new planting along the waterways to provide blocks of native riparian planting where appropriate to improve habitat and biodiversity. In other areas exotic waterside planting could be appropriate in keeping with the exotic botanical collection that forms the Domain.
<b>Griffiths, Cheryl Ratten, Leo</b>	104 217	Supports the inclusion of more native plants / native trees instead of pines.	Acknowledged. Please refer to previous comments.
<i>Flying fox</i>			
<b>Anonymous 5 Anonymous 7 Brown, George Elliott, Lisa</b>	12 14 44 75	Requests the flying fox is reinstated / fixed.	A flying fox is proposed in the ADDP.
<i>Nature / history / sculpture trails</i>			
<b>Brown, George</b>	44	Supports the projects concentrating on the trees and gardens profiling the district's history in horticulture.	Acknowledged.
<b>Forest &amp; Bird</b>	83	Suggests a sequential informative mountains to the sea feature gardens with interactive information panels to explain the natural history of the district.	Acknowledged. There is scope for this within the ADDP projects and is compatible with the intent of the ADDP.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Scott, Pauline</b>	231	Plaques at the base of tree specimens could be enlightening and educational.	Could form part of the botanical and heritage trails.
<b>Hanrahan, Michael</b>	5	Supports the heritage trail because of the many commemorative plantings. Suggests a map is produced featuring early photos taken from particular spots so the changes can be seen when walking around.	Acknowledged.
<b>Wilson, Graeme &amp; Jocelyn</b>	273	Does not believe the sculpture trail is important.	Acknowledged. Previous sculpture symposiums have proven successful.
<i>Lighting</i>			
<b>Ashburton Sports Turf Trust</b>	32	Supports the lighting upgrades as this will help the users of the turf.	Acknowledged.
<b>Crahay, Julie</b>	58	Requests better lighting throughout the Domain - and for led - sustainable low power consumption lighting to be used.	New lighting would be more power efficient than the existing fittings.
<b>Hore, Simon Wallace, Vernon</b>	138 268	Supports improving the lighting in the Domain, and suggests security cameras could be installed at the same time.	Acknowledged.
<b>Artz, Laretta Ministry of Education</b>	26 182	Supports the lighting improvements.	Acknowledged.
<i>Other projects in the draft plan</i>			
<b>Ashburton Cancer Support Group</b>	28	Recommends careful attention to all new shade design, increasing covered areas where families can congregate/picnic while utilising playground and paddling pool areas, and strategic planting with shade as priority in designed playground and picnic areas.	Acknowledged. Please refer to previous comments.
<b>Ministry Of Education</b>	182	Supports the proposed general improvements to education opportunities throughout the domain - would provide greater opportunities for the schools to use and interact with the domain.  Supports the proposed upgrades to the buildings as this would likely expand the options of appropriate facilities for the schools and their staff and students to use.	Acknowledged.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Warren, Johanna</b>	269	Supports educational signs around the Domain, but notes they can be expensive. Suggests signs encouraging people to walk from one space to another.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 4</b>	11	Placing a cricket ground near the playground is not family friendly, rather it should be where the circus and other travelling shows are placed.	Acknowledged this is a potential issue but occurs for only very limited periods of time.

#### 1.14. Other requests for inclusion

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anonymous 7</b>	14	Requests more music and outdoor films included, notes the observatory could be used for this.	Acknowledged. The Central Hub could provide this space.
<b>Anderson, Murray</b>	5	Requests additional car parks / notes parking is a problem.	Acknowledged.
<b>Collins, Peter</b>	55		
<b>Kewish, John</b>	152		
<b>Prendergast, Relda</b>	208		
<b>Ryk, Judie</b>	227		
<b>Wallace, Vernon</b>	268	Suggests gates at the carpark entrance.	
<b>Gutberlet, Diane</b>	106	Requests more toilets are built.	
<b>Crahay, Julie</b>	58	Suggests a community edible / vegetable garden / fruit trees – with recipes and information boards.	Could be incorporated as part of the Parks Depot area redevelopment.
<b>Hanrahan, Michael</b>	112		
<b>Wall, Nicola</b>	267		
<b>Wall, Trevor</b>	268		
<b>Anonymous 1</b>	7	Requests a sensory garden for people with disabilities, children and elderly.	Could be incorporated as part of the Parks Depot area redevelopment
<b>Crahay, Julie</b>	58		
<b>Wall, Nicola</b>	267	Suggests a Japanese inspired contemplation garden using natives, an area for the farmers market.	Acknowledged and can be incorporated within the ADDP projects. Farmers market

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
			could be located near Central Hub and warrants further investigation.
<b>Stevenson, Denise</b>	247	Sees a good opportunity to develop a nature based playground using natural materials possible incorporating Maori world views/principles into the nature play playground.	Nature play is proposed within wildlife area – and there is potential for this approach to also be considered for parts of the main playground.
<b>Ashburton Cancer Support Group</b>	28	<p>Currently only playgrounds and sports fields are smoke free. With the domain plan encouraging families to utilise the full Domain, request the entire Domain is smoke free to bring consistency and eliminate any boundary confusion.</p> <p>Suggests increasing the visibility of smoke free and vape free signage and the removal of old signage, “smoke free” represents any smoking device such as cigarette, e-cigarette, vaporizer and others, and that the Ashburton Domain is totally smoke free from the beginning of the development plan.</p>	Acknowledged. Council already has a Smokefree Outdoor Areas policy.
<b>Brown, Philip</b>	45	Notes the importance of having the whole domain smoke free, as second hand smoke is a health hazard and detrimental to the natural and clean environment of a Domain.	Acknowledged. Council already has a Smokefree Outdoor Areas policy.
<b>The Cancer Society</b>	251	Suggests increasing the visibility of smoke free and vape free signage and the removal of old signage, “smoke free” represents any smoking device such as cigarette, e-cigarette, vaporizer and others, and that the Ashburton Domain is totally smoke free from the beginning of the development plan.	Acknowledged. Council already has a Smokefree Outdoor Areas policy.
<b>Smokefree Mid Canterbury Coalition</b>	243	Recommends incorporating the whole garden/Domain as a smoke free and vape free area to ensure consistency across the entire Domain.	Acknowledged. Council already has a Smokefree Outdoor Areas policy.
<b>Craig, Ann</b> <b>Gormly, Alisdair</b> <b>Holder-Day, Kay</b>	59 96 137	<p>Suggests a skate park is included</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the current one is surrounded by fumes and for older children (Craig).</li> <li>• the Domain needs to offer something for teenagers in the community who aren’t necessarily there for sport (Gormly)</li> </ul>	The existing skate park on West Street is well utilised.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>New Zealand Disc Golf Association Hill, Polly</b>	195 124	Suggests installing a disc golf course. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Notes it is a low cost recreational sport for all ages and levels of fitness. It is aerobic, low impact and good for physical and mental well-being.</li> <li>Hill provides a course design to fit in with the other projects in the Domain plan.</li> </ul>	Other locations and reserves in Ashburton are more suitable/appropriate for a disc golf course.
<b>Anonymous 4 Elliott, Lisa</b>	11 75	Requests an outdoor gym / exercise area is installed.	The Domain already contains a fitness trail and new exercise equipment has recently been installed next to the playground.
<b>Brown, George</b>	44	Suggests the exercise trail could be enhanced.	Acknowledged.
<b>Field, Shelagh</b>	78	Requests areas are fenced, such as next to the Croquet Club. Requests a long term tree plan is included - and not just natives.	Fencing should be minimised where possible to keep views open and areas accessible. Agree a long term tree management plan is desirable to have but would be a separate document to the ADDP.
<b>Mischeski, Seth</b>	185	Believes it is important to plan for the future as far as the trees go, such as removing old ones and planting for the future.	Agree a long term tree management plan is desirable to have – but would be a separate document to the ADDP.
<b>Keenan, Colleen &amp; Leslie</b>	148	Suggests bringing back the information centre.	An information ‘centre’ is proposed as part of the Central Hub.
<b>Wall, Trevor</b>	267	Suggests the information centre could be included in the plan.	An information ‘centre’ is proposed as part of the Central Hub.
<b>Anonymous 6</b>	13	Proposes the Ashburton Domain wooden signs are painted as it is one of the first impressions people have of the Domain.	Acknowledged. New signage would form part of the redevelopment work.
<b>Anonymous 15</b>	22	Requests the wooden entry signs on the corner of West Street and Walnut Avenue are updated.	Acknowledged. New signage would form part of the redevelopment work.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Warren, Johanna</b>	269	Notes if more EV charging stations are installed in Ashburton, the toilets on Walnut Ave / SH1 corner would be a good location.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 7</b>	14	Requests additional outdoor barbeques for people to use.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 1</b>	7	Requests additional and upgraded picnic areas. Consideration of environment such as gardens that require less watering. Requests Council considers suitable and sustainable options rather than simply the 'cheapest' option.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 3</b>	10	Requests the far end where the tennis courts are should be incorporated into the Domain.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 16</b>	23	Suggests a mural of Mid Canterbury is created on the public toilets, as well as an unmanned information station for tourist attraction.	Acknowledged.
<b>NZ Trees for Bees</b>	197	Believes cycleways on the road are important and the Domain could set the precedence and plan for the future.	Acknowledged
<b>Butchard, Joseph</b>	47	Notes pigeons are a significant issue in town, so it is important to eliminate or reduce the pigeons to enhance the overall experience.	Acknowledged
<b>Ratten, Leo</b>	217	Notes there are wild cats in the Domain which should be controlled.	Acknowledged

### 1.15. Timeline

Submitters were asked which projects they thought were the most important to them, and which projects were the least important to be undertaken. The following provides a summary of submitter’s responses to these two questions. Please note that this table does not represent the ‘numbers for’ or ‘against’ each project, rather only the projects which submitters noted were *the most* important or *least* important to them.

Project	Most important	Least important
New entry / access road off Walnut Avenue	14	2
Playground upgrades	12	1
Central hub	12	9
Aviary *	12	12
Parks Depot	7	1
Walnut Avenue promenade	7	2
Waterway enhancements	7	2
West entry / car park	6	-
Waterplay	6	8
Lighting upgrades	5	-
Pedestrian crossing over SH1	5	1
Pathway upgrades	5	3
Wildlife gardens	2	1
Southern corner plaza	1	1
Band rotunda / kiosk	1	4

\* note those against the aviary upgrade generally all requested the aviary be completely removed.

In addition to the above two questions, submitters were asked whether or not they agreed with the proposed timeline on pages 22 and 23 of the consultation document.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Anonymous 3</b>	10	Submitters support the draft timeline.	Acknowledged.
<b>Ashburton Youth Council</b>	34		
<b>Crahay, Julie</b>	58		
<b>Dalton, Murray</b>	67		
<b>Griffiths, Cheryl</b>	104		
<b>Harrison, Craig</b>	114		
<b>Herriott, Rodger</b>	121		
<b>Lysaght, Daniel</b>	167		
<b>Mid Canterbury Cricket Association</b>	180		
<b>Mischeski, Seth</b>	185		
<b>Mischeski, Virginia</b>	186		
<b>Quinn, Alison</b>	215		
<b>Tily, Aaron</b>	256		
<b>Wood, Pearl</b>	277		
<b>Eder, Margaret</b>	74	Supports some of the draft timeline.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anderson, Murray</b>	5	Supports the timeline but suggests the access road off Walnut Ave is moved forward.	Acknowledged.
<b>Forest &amp; Bird</b>	83	Supports the draft timeline, although the native vegetation along the waterway and island could be undertaken soon due to the small associated cost.	Some work could be carried out over time as part of day to day upkeep of the Domain.



Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Driscoll, Alison</b>	71	Requests development of the Walnut Ave promenade is brought forward. Questions why the rest of the development isn't brought forward - the cost is not excessive.	Acknowledged. Some projects were deferred to match expenditure in 2018-2028 LTP.  There would be cost savings in bringing Walnut Ave Promenade project upgrade forward to align with proposed Walnut Ave kerb and channel upgrade.  However, projects will be subject to broader Council LTP planning process.
<b>Twamley, Rosie</b>	257	Believes the traffic lights should be installed earlier if possible.	Project timeframes are determined by NZTA.
<b>Scott, Pauline</b>	231	Notes some areas which require fencing before 2028-38.	
<b>Canterbury Bowls Cox, Christina Elliott, Lisa Gutberlet, Diane Kara MacKenzie, Millie McKnight, Irene McTague, Kelvin Mowatt, Heather Quinn, Peter Sheard, Barbara Williams, Brian Worrall, Simon</b>	50 57 75 106 144 169 175 179 191 216 234 271 279	Submitters do not agree with the draft timeline.	Acknowledged.
<b>Anonymous 1 Artz, Laretta Collie, Douglas</b>	7 26 52	Submitters do not agree with the draft timeline as would prefer the timeline was shortened with more projects happening sooner.	Please refer to previous comments.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Hanrahan, Michael</b> <b>Harrison, Marion</b> <b>Howells, Gerain</b>	112 116 140	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would prefer to have projects completed within 5 years. Suggests holding back on the improvements to buildings, meanwhile undertaking the other projects first (Artz).</li> <li>• If it could be done in the next 10 years it would be good and would save money in the long-run. Questions why the wait is 20-30 years as it is a very long time (Collie).</li> <li>• Most projects don't start until 2028 - ideas and councils will have changed and the outcome of the consultation won't be what happens (Hanrahan).</li> <li>• Ideas and needs may be very different as well as project costs changing (Harrison).</li> <li>• It is 10 years before many of the projects get started, which is 10 years of cost and waste (Howells).</li> </ul>	
<b>Anonymous 9</b> <b>Anonymous 10</b> <b>Crooks-Walker, Christine</b> <b>Walker, Kevin</b>	16 17 61 262	<p>The timeline is too long</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• things will be changed before then (Anonymous 9 &amp; 10).</li> </ul>	Please refer to previous comments.
<b>NZ Trees for Bees</b>	197	Notes planning is important but the earlier the better.	Please refer to previous comments.
<b>Wilson, Graeme &amp; Jocelyn</b>	273	Notes by the time the projects are completed, the cost will have escalated out of control at the ratepayers cost.	Please refer to previous comments.
<b>Prendergast, Relda</b>	208	Is uncertain with the draft timeline.	Please refer to previous comments.
<b>Walker, Laraine</b>	264	Notes the timeline could be improved.	Please refer to previous comments.
<b>Brown, George</b>	44	Notes the projects should be undertaken when they can be afforded. There are possibly more urgent needs for Council spending.	Acknowledged. Projects will be subject to broader Council LTP planning process.

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<b>Wall, Nicola</b>	266	Would like to see the timeline adjusted to the projects do not increase rates.	Acknowledged. Projects will be subject to broader Council LTP planning process.
<b>Reid, James</b>	219	Notes some of the draft timeline looks good, but other projects can wait until the lifecycle of the existing infrastructure is spent. For example, replacing bridges when the existing ones are due for replacement. Notes our domain is a great facility and a lot of the plan makes sense and would be great for the town - a plan over 30 years makes sense, but not 10 years.	Acknowledged.
<b>Phoenix Pre School</b>	204	Queries when the playground upgrade will be undertaken. The timeline suggests this would be undertaken in 2019/20, however, this has not happened yet - will this be undertaken in 2020/21?  Requests the 'Domain crossing point' is undertaken as a matter of urgency.	Work has been derred until this plan had been adopted. In the meantime, funds have been carried forward.

### 1.1. Other feedback

Submitter name	Page number	Summary	Staff comments
<i>Cost of the Development Plan</i>			
<b>Ashburton Sports Turf Trust</b>	32	Is concerned about the overall cost of the development plan.	Acknowledged. Some of the costs will be met through funding already provided in the 2028-2028 LTP.
<b>Anonymous 6</b> <b>Anonymous 15</b>	13 22	Believes the money could be better spent on EA Networks for subsidised swimming lessons / gym.	Acknowledged.
<b>Elliott, Lisa</b>	75	Believes money could be better spent elsewhere such as EA Network Centre and subsidising swimming lesson fees.	Acknowledged.
<i>Ongoing maintenance</i>			

<b>Glassey, Brian</b>	91	Suggests decreasing the work load over the whole of Mid Canterbury on the Open Spaces team so they can concentrate on the Domain and town gardens.	Acknowledged.
<b>Mischeski, Seth</b>	185	Believes the Domain needs a larger budget so that everything is properly maintained.	Acknowledged.
<b>Quinn, Alison</b>	215	Has concern for the maintenance required to keep up with all proposed changes.	Acknowledged.
<i>Other feedback</i>			
<b>Anonymous 1</b>	7	Requests Council considers suitable and sustainable options rather than simply the 'cheapest' option.	Acknowledged.
<b>Kilworth, Robin</b>	156	Notes there are no review periods included in the plan, suggests a review every 5 years.	Acknowledge there should a review period to capture new opportunities that arise, and keep it up to date. A 10 year period would align with LTP timeframes.
<b>Ministry of Education</b>	182	Requests ongoing consultation from Council when the details of the projects are being developed.	Support further consultation with affected parties during detailed design phase.
<b>Phoenix Pre School</b>	204	Requests Council engage more directly with affected stakeholders who are regular users of the Domain during development of the specific projects.	Support further consultation with affected parties during detailed design phase.