

## #15

---

### Page 1: Have your say - draft Revenue and Financing Policy - Methven-Springfield Water

#### Q1

Do you agree with adding Methven-Springfield to the District Water Group?

**Yes, I agree,**

Other (please specify):

Agree with joining the group, providing it makes the cost of water more affordable and not more expensive.

---

### Page 2

#### Q2

**Option Four - (12+1)**

If you agree with adding Methven-Springfield to the District Water Group, what is your preferred policy setting in regards to allocation?

---

### Page 3

#### Q3

**Methven-Springfield**

I get my drinking water from:

---

#### Q4

Do you have any other comments?

The first three options proposed do not result in reducing costs for all users - with options 2 & 3 actually increasing costs (ie reducing affordability). Option 4 results in reduced costs for all but 5 users and is therefore the closest to achieving affordability for Methven-Springfield users - and therefore surely the only option that can be considered.

For the significant savings that would result for the Methven-Springfield users under option 4, the slight increase to the group seems justifiable.

In addition, we do not need water of this quality for stock water. It doesn't seem reasonable nor fair to have to pay this much for this water.

---

### Page 4

**Q5**

Contact Details

Name

**Sarah Watson**

---

Page 5

**Q6**

Do you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing?

**No: I do not wish to speak in support of my submission and ask that my written submission be fully considered.**

---

Page 6

**Q7**

Would you like to present:

**Respondent skipped this question**