

Do you wish to speak in support of your submission?:

No

Do you support the disposal of Grove Street Park? :

Yes, I support the disposal of Grove Street Park

Let us know why:

As developers our planning team have attempted to design a subdivision that meets council requirements and desires, while being attractive for future residents. In terms of connectivity we are attempting to make provision to link the existing Grove St residential area, to our new Ashbury Grove subdivision plus provide for a future link to the Res D land to the East. The subdivision design is based on 2 access points being Graham's Road, and off Grove St through through what is currently the Grove St park, which we believe will be better for traffic flow rather than having all traffic exiting on to a busy Graham's Road, and be far better for safety for children accessing Tinwald school. Additionally 2 entry points will be better for emergency service access if ever required. The councils objectives are for parks and recreation areas or bigger parks than the existing 881m2, which we are aiming to accomodate and are requesting that the council use part of the developers reserve contribution that we will pay to go towards new modern and safe play equipment. Ultimately a new larger playground with modern safe equipment is better for those living in the surrounding area.

Do you have any further comments?:

I note the council records a disadvantage of a new larger playground being more maintenance costs for rate payers, however ultimately there will be in excess of 80 additional rate payers so I do not believe that existing rate payers will have to carry a higher rating payment because of a larger park in the Grove st area

I understand that all submissions are public documents and will be made available on Council's website with the names of submitters included:

Yes

**Personal Details**

Full Name:

Brent Schmack