



ASHBURTON DISTRICT COUNCIL

5 Baring Square West, Ashburton, New Zealand
e-mail info@adc.govt.nz Website www.ashburtondc.govt.nz

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

ASHBURTON DISTRICT COUNCIL

PROPOSED CHANGES 1, 3, 4 & 8 TO THE PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN - SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS

SPECIFIC PROVISION	SUBMISSION NO AND SUBMITTER	REQUEST	DECISION SOUGHT	REASONS
Proposed Change 1 Rural C zone Small buildings	1. Federated Farmers Mid Canterbury province	Support	Support amendments 1, 2a & b	NIL
Rural C boundary and landscape area		Support	Support amendment 1	
Tree planting – shading & intersection visibility		Oppose	Current site standard has to recognise existing use	
Proposed Change 1 Rural C Zone small buildings	2. Environment Canterbury	Oppose	Allow “smaller buildings” as permitted activities in vicinity of existing farm homesteads and the core farm building area. Smaller buildings remote from this area to remain controlled activities.	Change is ambiguous, does not clearly state that smaller building provided for one permitted activity.
Proposed Change 3 Geopreservation sites Amendment 1	1. Federated Farmers Mid Canterbury	Support	NIL	NIL
Amendment 2		Oppose in part	Delete words “natural features and” from proposed wording	NIL
Amendment 2		Support in part	NIL	
Amendment 3		Support	NIL	



SPECIFIC PROVISION	SUBMISSION NO AND SUBMITTER	REQUEST	DECISION SOUGHT	REASONS
Amendment 4	1. Federated Farmers Mid Canterbury	Oppose in part	Delete words “natural features and” from the proposed wording	NIL
Amendment 5		Support	NIL	
Amendment 6		Oppose in part	Sites B, C, E, G, H & I, J, K, L, N, O, P, Q, R, S, T, U, V and consequential change to maps	Inconsistency with mapping – some in conservation as to the extent of sites not described or marked.
Proposed Plan Change 3	2. Environment Canterbury	Oppose	Make provision in District Plan to control the effects of subdivision use and development on Geopreservation sites.	Council has failed to make provision for the control of the effects of subdivision, use and development on Geopreservation sites.
Proposed Plan Change 3	3. NZ Geological Society	Support	Request that a further 12 sites be included in Appendix A2.4	These sites require protection as per Section 6(b) of the Resource Management Act.
Proposed Plan Change 3	4. Canterbury Aoraki Conservation Board	?	Request that a further 10 sites be included in the Geopreservation inventory.	To protect the sites from inappropriate subdivision and development.
Proposed Plan Change 3	5. Ashburton Branch Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society	?	Include additional sites being all sites in inventory prepared by Geological Earth Sciences Society of NZ plus all Rouché Moutonee and post glacial landscape in Heron basin.	Sites have values deserving recognition under Section 6(b) of the Resource Management Act.
Proposed Plan Change 3	5. David Howden	Support	Include 13 additional sites in inventory	Recognise the value of key geological features.
Proposed Plan Change 8 – Filming	1. Federated Farmers Mid Canterbury	Support	NIL	NIL