



# Ashburton District Council

## AGENDA

A MEETING of the ASHBURTON DISTRICT COUNCIL  
will be held as follows:

**Date:** Thursday 16 December 2010

**Venue:** Council Chamber  
5 Baring Square West  
Ashburton

**Time:** 1.30 pm

### MEMBERS:

His Worship the Mayor, A R McKay  
Cr N A Brown  
Cr I J Burgess  
Cr D M Favel  
Cr R J Kilworth  
Cr L J Leadley  
Cr D G McLeod  
Cr D N Nelson  
Cr M J Nordqvist  
Cr P W Reveley  
Cr J Sparks  
Cr A B Totty  
Cr R S Wilson

B LESTER  
Chief Executive

10 December 2010

---

# AGENDA

---

	Page
<b>1 APOLOGIES</b>	
<b>OPENING PRAYER</b>	
– Rev Alan Judge – St David's Church	
<b>2 NOTIFICATION OF EXTRAORDINARY BUSINESS</b>	
<b>3 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES</b>	
3.1 Council Meeting – 25 November 2010	1
3.2 Matters Arising from Minutes	
<b>4 REPORTS</b>	
4.1 Mayor	7
4.2 Chief Executive	10
4.3 <b>Operations Committee — 2 December 2010</b>	13
— Recommendation <i>Receive minutes of meeting</i>	
4.4 <b>Environmental Services Committee — 2 December 2010</b>	17
— Recommendation <i>Receive Minutes of Meeting</i>	
4.5 <b>Closure of Stockwater Race – Hinds Highway</b>	19
4.6 <b>Water Transfer Consent Application</b>	22
4.7 <b>Investigation into Partial Water Servicing of Rakaia Settlement</b>	26
4.8 <b>2011 Meeting Calendar and District Plan Hearing Dates</b>	30
4.9 <b>Canterbury Earthquake Heritage Building Fund</b>	35
4.10 <b>Draft Ashburton District Events Strategy</b>	37
4.11 <b>Road Closure – Methven Motorcycle Street Race</b>	53
4.12 <b>Town Centre Subcommittee – 16/12/10</b>	Tabled
— Recommendation <i>Baring Square East Project Funding</i>	
4.13 <b>Request to Upgrade Lewins Road</b>	<i>To be circulated</i>

*Cont'd*

## 5 BUSINESS TRANACTED WITH THE PUBLIC EXCLUDED

### 5.1 Confirmation of Minutes

#### 5.1.1 Council Meeting – 25/11/10 55

- Stadium Complex Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities
- Council Agencies Review Section 7(2)(i) Conduct of negotiations
- Property Matter Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities

[Now in open meeting]

- Contract C585 – minor township maintenance, Methven
- Contract C592 – resealing and pre-seal repairs, Ashburton district
- ACL Board Appointments
- Scales Road land sale
- Lake Hood – Stage 5 subdivision
- Ashburton Business Estate Project Board

#### 5.1.2 Operations Committee Meeting – 2/12/10 57

- Request to utilise stockwater main EA/BCI  
Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities
  
- Awarding C595 – Footpath resurfacing, Ashburton District 2010-11  
Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities

#### 5.2 Proposed Second Bridge Consultation 58

Section 7(2)(i) Conduct of negotiations

#### 5.3 Business Estate Update 63

Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities

#### 5.4 Property & Investment Subcommittee – 7/12/10 64

Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities

#### 5.5 Ashburton Railway Station *To be circulated*

Section 7(2)(i) Conduct of negotiations

#### Timetable

<b>1.30 pm</b>	<b>Ordinary Meeting commences OPENING PRAYER</b>
<b>1.45 pm</b>	<b>REGIONAL TRANSPORT COMMITTEE PRESENTATION</b> ECan Commissioner Rex Williams Mr Rob Woods, Programme Manager Transport
<b>2.45 pm</b>	<b>Afternoon tea</b>

10 December 2010

### 3.1 COUNCIL MEETING

---

**MINUTES** of a Meeting of the **ASHBURTON DISTRICT COUNCIL** held in the Council Chamber, 5 Baring Square West, Ashburton, on Thursday 25 November 2010, commencing at 1.30 pm.

**PRESENT:** His Worship the Mayor, A R McKay; Councillors N A Brown, I J Burgess, D M Favel, R J Kilworth, L J Leadley, D G McLeod, D N Nelson, M J Nordqvist, P W Reveley, J Sparks, A B Totty and R S Wilson.

In attendance Chief Executive, Manager Democracy & Community Services, Property Manager, Operations Manager, Community Planning Manager, Policy Advisor and Committee Secretary.

Seven members of the public attended.

#### **Tribute**

The Mayor paid tribute to victims of the Pike River mining tragedy, their families and members of the Grey community, and read the letter of condolence that will be sent to the Mayor of Greymouth. As a mark of respect, Council stood and observed a moment's silence.

#### **Extraordinary Business**

"That pursuant to Section 7(2)(A) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 the following items be introduced as extraordinary business and taken with the public excluded as items 5.2 and 5.3:

5.2 Ashburton Business Estate Project Board

5.3 Lake Hood Section Sales

*Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities*

Brown/Sparks

**Carried**

#### **Confirmation of Minutes**

"That the minutes of the meeting of Ashburton District Council held on 23 September, 2010, be taken as read and confirmed."

Burgess/Kilworth

**Carried**

Corrections:

*Pendarves Fire Shed (Moved Cr Kilworth)*

*Appointment of Chair – Finance & Community Services (Seconded Cr Nelson)*

"That the minutes of the Inaugural meeting of Ashburton District Council held on 21 October, 2010, as amended, be taken as read and confirmed."

Nelson/Totty

**Carried**

*Executive Committee – Membership 5 councillors*

"That the minutes of the Extraordinary meeting of Ashburton District Council held on 28 October, 2010, as amended, be taken as read and confirmed."

Nelson/Burgess

**Carried**

"That the minutes of the Extraordinary meeting of Ashburton District Council held on 18 November, 2010, be taken as read and confirmed."

Kilworth/Brown

**Carried**

#### **Mayor's Report**

"That the report be received."

Mayor/Nelson

**Carried**

### **Chief Executive's Report**

"That the Chief Executive's report be received."

Nelson/Leadley

**Carried**

### **Proposed 2011 Meeting Calendar**

The proposal to hold both the Environmental Services and Operations Committee meetings on the same day was questioned. Concern was also expressed at the significant number of days programmed for District Plan review hearings and the limited time that would be left available for subcommittee meetings and other commitments that Councillors have.

Staff were asked to revisit the schedule and provide further options.

"That the item of business being discussed be adjourned until the 16 December Council meeting."

Leadley/Nelson

**Carried**

### **Operations Committee – 4 November 2010**

"That the report and minutes of the Operations Committee meeting held on 4 November 2010, be received."

Leadley/Reveley

**Carried**

### **Environmental Services Committee – 11 November 2010**

"That the report and minutes of the Environmental Services Committee meeting held on 11 November 2010, be received."

Kilworth/Totty

**Carried**

### **Finance & Community Services Committee – 18 November 2010**

"That the report and minutes of the Finance and Community Services Committee meeting held on 18 November 2010, be received."

Brown/Totty

**Carried**

### **Request for Funding – Mid Canterbury Newcomers Network**

"That Council grants \$800 to the Mid Canterbury Newcomers Network for venue hire/project work; the funding to come from the Social Wellbeing budget."

Brown/Burgess

**Carried**

### **Insurance**

It was noted that Lake Hood structure continues to be insured for its value of \$5,390,000. The premium paid is \$5,278.

### **Clock tower**

Tenders have been accepted and work is programmed to start in December. The Property Manager sought Council's view on whether work on the clock mechanism should be deferred to allow the traditional chiming in of the new year.

"That the clock tower maintenance be deferred until the new year."

Kilworth/Nelson

**Carried**

### ***Triennial Elections***

The Manager Democracy & Community Services reported on his attendance at the Electoral Officers' debrief where the issue of STV voting for the District Health Board was discussed.

"That, in response to Council's concern with the DHB election process, a preliminary proposal and recommendation be prepared for the Combined Zone 5/6 meeting in April 2011."

Leadley/McLeod **Carried**

"That the report be received."

Burgess/Nordqvist **Carried**

The Finance Manager attended at 2.20 pm.

### ***Elected Members Remuneration***

The Manager Democracy & Community Services reported that Council will have the opportunity to review its remuneration structure in April 2011, along with the travelling time and communications allowances that the Remuneration Authority's determination now provides for.

- "1. That the recommended remuneration for Councillors for the 2010-2011 year to 30 June 2011, for consideration by the Remuneration Authority, as outlined in Model 1 and listed below, be on a salary only basis:

<b>Position</b>	<b>Annual Salary</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>Total Annual Salaries</b>
Deputy Mayor	\$26,541.75	1	\$26,541.75
Committee Chair	\$26,541.75	3	\$79,625.25
Councillor	\$17,540.63	8	\$140,325.04
Total Salaries			\$246,492.04

2. That Council adopts the remuneration basis determined by the Remuneration Authority, for the Mayor, for the 2010-2011 year to 30 June 2011.

3. That the recommended salaries for the Chair and members of the Methven Community Board for the 2010-2011 year to 30 June 2011, for consideration by the Remuneration Authority, as outlined in Model 1, be as listed below:

<b>Position</b>	<b>Annual Salary</b>	<b>No.</b>	<b>Total Annual Salaries</b>
Community Board Chair	\$3,231.17	1	\$3,231.17
Community Board Member	\$923.19	4	\$3,692.76
Total Salaries			*\$6,923.93

\*NB The total salaries figure is half of the remuneration of community board members. The other half is excluded from the indicative pool and is funded by a targeted rate.

4. That the applicable rules for the recovery of expenses by elected members and community board members, for the 2010-2011 year to 30 June 2011, be referred to the Remuneration Authority for approval."

Mayor/Nelson **Carried**

### ***Adoption of the Summary Annual Report 2009/10***

It was noted that Audit's opinion has incorrectly referred to Buller District Council.

"That Council adopts the Annual Report 2009/10 Summary, subject to the amendment of the Audit NZ opinion."

Brown/Nordqvist **Carried**

### ***Barrhill Rakaia Stockwater Race – Opposition to Closure***

The Operations Manager sought Council's agreement to rescind its previous decision to close the race so that a full review of the race closure process can be undertaken. He said the decision resulted in significant concern and opposition and it is likely that a formal challenge could result. This is the recommended action of Council's legal advisors. Mr Rouse advised that options being investigated include a potable supply to the small settlement in this area and piping the race. Council's race closure guidelines and policies will also be reviewed.

Cr Reveley asked that Council's decision to close the race be deferred until 16 December, at the earliest, on the basis that an alternative and cost effective solution for the supply of water is close to being found.

The Chief Executive explained that the issue relates to Local Government Act procedure which requires that the investigative work and policy review must be completed before the decision is made to close the race.

Councillors opposing the recommendation were concerned about lost productivity for the affected property owners. It was further suggested that the situation could have been avoided if there had not been a delay in reviewing the policy and guidelines.

The Operations Manager was requested to have the review completed and a report to Council at the earlier date of March 2011.

- “1. That the decisions made by Council on 12 August 2010, pertaining to the closure of the Barrhill Rakaia Stockwater Race Closure, are hereby formally rescinded; and
2. That the race closure policy / guidelines be reviewed and presented for adoption at the Council meeting in March 2011; the race closure to be revisited once this work is completed.”

Nelson/Leadley

**Carried**

#### **AMENDMENT**

“That closure of the Barrhill Rakaia stockwater race be deferred until 16 December 2010, at the earliest.”

Reveley/Wilson

A show of hands gave 5 for and 8 against and the original motion was carried.  
Crs Brown, Reveley and Wilson recorded their votes against the motion.

### ***Warrant of Authority – Operations Group***

“That KIRSA JANE PATRICIA McCOMBE, Water Services Field Assistant - Meter Monitoring, be authorised under the seal of the Ashburton District Council to act as indicated below:

- Has the authority to enter private land under Sections 171-173 and 182 of the Local Government Act 2002.
- Is an authorised Officer under the Ashburton District Council Bylaw 2008.

This warrant is issued pursuant to Sections 174 and 177 of the Local Government Act 2002.”

Kilworth/Leadley

**Carried**

### ***Ashburton Water Management Zone Committee***

“That the report and minutes of the Ashburton Water Management Zone Committee meetings held on 12 October and 18 November 2010 be received.

Brown/Reveley

**Carried**

**Operations Group Professional Services Contract 2011 - 2016**

- “1. That Council approves a weighting of 40 percent for the price attribute and a weighting of 60 percent for the non-price attributes; and
2. That the contract duration be on a 3+1+1 basis.”

Leadley/Nelson

**Carried**

Cr Wilson had questioned the \$6.4m being spent on cyclic renewals rather than sealing new roads and recorded his vote against the motion.

Council adjourned for afternoon tea at 3.08 pm and resumed at 3.23 pm.

**Business taken with the public excluded (3.23 pm)**

“That the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting:

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under Section 48 (1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

Item no	General subject of each matter to be considered:	In accordance with Section 48(1) of the Act, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter:
5.1.1	<p><b>Council – 23/09/10</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Property matters</li> <li>• Ashburton Business Estate</li> </ul> <p>[Now in open meeting]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sale of Lot 1 DP 6238 Blands Rd</li> <li>• Glasgow lease 246 Havelock St</li> <li>• Glasgow lease East/South/ Cass St</li> <li>• Rangitata Mouth camping ground</li> </ul>	<p>Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities</p> <p>Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities</p>
5.1.2	<p><b>Council Inaugural Meeting – 21/10/10</b></p> <p>[Now in open meeting]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ACL Directors' fees</li> <li>• Tenders Lauriston &amp; Pendarves fire shed</li> <li>• Sale of 42 Dunford St</li> <li>• Tender – civic building upgrade</li> </ul>	
5.1.3	<p><b>Council (Extraordinary Meeting) – 28/10/10</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Property matters</li> </ul>	<p>Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities</p>
5.1.4	<p><b>Finance &amp; Community Services – 18/11/10</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Property Matters</li> <li>• Property &amp; Investment Subcommittee</li> <li>• Airport Authority meeting</li> <li>• Council Agencies review</li> </ul>	<p>Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities</p> <p>Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities</p> <p>Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities</p> <p>Section 7(2)(i) Conduct of negotiations</p>
5.2	<p><b>Ashburton Business Estate Project Board</b></p>	<p>Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities</p>
5.3	<p><b>Lake Hood Section Sales</b></p>	<p>Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities</p>

Mayor/Wilson

**Carried**

***Business taken with the public excluded now in open meeting***

**Contract C585 – Minor township maintenance, Methven (23/09/10)**

1. That Council accepts the tender from Ashburton Contracting Ltd in the sum of Two Hundred and Three Thousand, four hundred and forty dollars \$203,440) excluding GST, for contract C585 – physical works for minor township maintenance, Methven, 2010/11 – 2011/12.
2. That Council approves additional funding in the sum of \$44,705; and
3. Confirms the sum of \$22,890 for the cleaning of the Methven Heritage Centre toilets.”

Tasker/Holmes **Carried**

“That Council requests staff to review funding arrangements for public toilets, in view of the decision on the Methven Heritage Centre toilets.”

Kilworth/Leadley **Carried**

**Contract C592 – Resealing and Preseal Repairs 2010/11 (23/09/10)**

“That the tender from Ashburton Contracting Ltd in the sum of Three Million Five Hundred and Ninety Eight Thousand, five hundred and forty two dollars and seventy five cents, for Contract C592 – resealing and preseal repairs, Ashburton district 2010/11, be accepted.”

Tasker/Leadley **Carried**

**Ashburton Contracting Ltd Board Appointments (23/09/10)**

“That Murray Frost be reappointed from the AGM 2010 for a period of three years.”

Kilworth/Holmes **Carried**

“That Roger West be reappointed from the AGM 2010 for a period of one year.”

Kilworth/Holmes **Carried**

**Scales Road (23/09/10)**

“That approval be given to accept the unconditional tender from M Smith, being \$1.1 million plus GST, for the purchase of the land described as Section 48 Reserve 350 and Part Section 47 Reserve 350 containing 110.1291 hectares, subject to normal legal checking requirements.”

Kingsbury/Leadley **Carried**

**Lake Hood – Stage 5 (18/11/10)**

“That Council delegates authority to the Property Subcommittee to establish reserve prices for the 18 residential sections at Lake Hood.”

Leadley/Sparks **Carried**

**Ashburton Business Estate Project Board (25/11/10)**

1. That the Project Board for the Ashburton Business Estate continues until 30 October 2011.
2. That the Project Board consists of the Mayor, Chief Executive, Messrs Kennedy and Bradford.
3. That the Terms of Reference for the Project Board be adopted.”

Kilworth/Sparks **Lost**

A show of hands gave 6 for and 7 against

The meeting concluded at 4.20 pm.

Confirmed this 16<sup>th</sup> day of December 2010 by

\_\_\_\_\_  
MAYOR

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHIEF EXECUTIVE

## ASHBURTON DISTRICT COUNCIL REPORT

FILE NO: 1/3/1  
DATE: 16 December 2010  
REPORT TO: Council  
FROM: Mayor

---

### 4.1. MAYOR'S REPORT

#### 4.1.1 CANTERBURY REGIONAL LAND TRANSPORT COMMITTEE –

##### **Defining the next 30 years of transport in Canterbury Presented by Commissioner Rex Williams**

###### **Purpose of Presentation**

To brief Council on the background and role of the Canterbury Regional Land Transport Strategy (RLTS).

To outline to Council how the RLTS process has developed since the development of a new strategy was commenced in late 2009.

To present to Council the emerging preferred strategic option for the future of transport in the Canterbury region and to begin to understand the aspects of this option that might be more or less applicable / appealing to the Ashburton District.

###### **Background & Role of RLTS**

The RLTS is a statutory document prepared by the Regional Transport Committee on behalf of the Regional Council. It is document that it renewed at least every six years and has a thirty year planning horizon.

A RLTS must contribute to national transport priorities, as articulated by the New Zealand Transport Strategy. The document essentially sets out key regional and inter-regional outcomes that a region wishes to see come to fruition and describes how transport solutions will contribute to achieving them.

###### **The RLTS Development Process to Date**

A process of stakeholder engagement and public consultation was undertaken in late 2009 to understand exactly what Cantabrians deemed to be the most important issues and challenges facing the transport sector in the coming 30 years.

This process, together with statutory requirements outlined by central government allowed the formulation and agreement on a series of outcomes that the region wished to see forming a core component of its RLTS.

A series of thematic approaches (termed strategic options) to addressing issues and challenges to deliver desired outcomes were then developed. These strategic options were then evaluated for their performance, with the 'best' options being taken forward, amalgamated or combined to produce a shortlist for further consideration.

###### **Emerging Preferred Option**

The emerging preferred option from this process is a transition scenario that sees the Canterbury region changing over time from the mix of transport solutions seen today to a future in which a wider range of transport choices and travel alternatives are available for Cantabrians. Part of the preferred option involves moving to more local solutions to transport issues – effectively reducing the need to travel and own multiple vehicles that need to be used for nearly all trips that are made.

---

Different elements of the preferred option are relevant for urban and rural contexts, with a strategy being developed that has relevance to both types of community.

The preferred option stresses that many of the perceived benefits of the emerging preferred option will only be realised if an appropriate mix of policy carrots and sticks are combined.

#### **Possible Implications for Ashburton District**

In discussion with transport officers from Council, we have developed a range of potential local responses that might be required to support the preferred option. The intention of this presentation is to explore Council's views on the appropriateness or otherwise of the suggestions and to identify any perceived areas of difficulty.

#### **4.1.2 CANTERBURY WATER MANAGEMENT STRATEGY**

At its meeting on 16 November 2010 the CWMS Regional Committee was advised of Trustpower's proposal to harness the water from Lake Coleridge for electricity and irrigation proposals that will greatly enhance the Ashburton and Selwyn districts. I will keep you fully informed of any further developments.

#### **4.1.3 BRIDGE ACTION GROUP**

I met with the Bridge Action Group on 22 November. Cr Favel was present at the meeting. There was general discussion with the group and it was noted that some of the members were going to look to set up a Citizens/Ratepayers group in the New Year to liaise with the Council. I look forward to seeing how this is to be progressed.

#### **4.1.4 ASHBURTON YOUTH COUNCIL**

On 7 December I presented letters of appreciation to members of the Youth Council who have resigned due to their moving on to pursue further education or employment opportunities. The Youth Council members work very closely with Council including providing events for themselves that make it possible for experiences for the youth of Ashburton, eg a concert with local bands, dance parties, as well as attending national and regional youth forums. My thanks to the Councillors who are involved with the Youth Council.

#### **4.1.6 CALENDAR**

Some of the meetings and functions I have attended since my last report to Council are as follows:

- 22 Nov Planted tree at Remembrance Service for Road Victims  
Met with Ashburton Business Estate Board Project members and B Lester  
Attended Bridge Action Group meeting with other Councillors
- 23 Nov Attended Stadium Trust AGM
- 24 Nov Met with representative from CWMS and B Lester  
Met with Ashburton Tourism Board Manager  
Met with concerned ratepayer re water cylinders & tradewaste levies  
Chaired Social Wellbeing Workshop  
Officially opened Stonewood Homes new office in Ashburton
- 25 Nov Attended Workshop meeting at Art Gallery/Museum  
Presented certificates to graduates at Ashburton YMCA  
Called at White Ribbon Day event at Chess Board
- 26 Nov Chaired Young Persons' Forum at ADC  
Met with Minister of Internal Affairs at ADC
- 29 Nov Attended public announcement re Rugby World Cup host team

- 30 Nov Met with Ecan representatives re Clean Heat  
Met with John Keast, 'Courier' reporter  
Attended Ashburton Tourism Board AGM
- 1 Dec Attended Ashburton Branch, NZ Foundation for the Blind Christmas Lunch  
Chaired Social Wellbeing Forum  
Met with Manager, Grow Mid Canterbury  
Attended official of newly refurbished BNZ, Ashburton Branch
- 2 Nov Met with representatives from Filipino organization in Ashburton
- 3 Dec Met with Hon Ruth Dyson at ADC and B Lester  
Attended 10<sup>th</sup> Anniversary function of Rakaia Resource Recovery Park
- 4 Dec Attended Council/Staff Christmas function
- 5 Dec Attended Lyndhurst Pool Reopening
- 6 Dec Met with representative from Ashburton Guardian  
Attended social function at White Fox & Jones, Solicitors, Ashburton
- 7 Dec Judged Children's Christmas Card Competition  
Attended Ashburton Youth Council function  
Attended Ashburton Aoraki Polytechnic Graduation Ceremony
- 8 Dec Attended Lighting of Christmas Tree at Library with Fairton School students  
Attended Grow Mid Canterbury AGM
- 9 Dec Attended RDR Strategy Workshop  
Officially opened Neumann's Tyre Services new building
- 10 Dec Attended Ashburton Seniors Centre Christmas Lunch
- 11 Dec Took part in Ashburton's Santa Parade  
Attended Filipino Organisation's Christmas function
- 12 Dec Attended Cadet Unit Parade  
Attended Canterbury Mayoral Forum Dinner, Christchurch
- 13 Dec Attended Canterbury Mayoral Forum, Christchurch  
Attended Regional Transport Committee meeting, Christchurch
- 14 Dec Met with Principal & senior staff from Ashburton College
- 15 Dec RDR Management Ltd Directors' meeting

I wish Councillors, Council staff and everyone in the community a safe, relaxing and happy Festive Season.

A R McKAY  
**Mayor**

## ASHBURTON DISTRICT COUNCIL REPORT

FILE NO: 1/3/3  
DATE: 16 December 2010  
REPORT TO: Council  
FROM: Chief Executive

---

### 4.2 CHIEF EXECUTIVE'S REPORT

#### 4.2.1 MINISTER OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS – HON NATHAN GUY

I met with the Minister on 26 November, with the Mayor and Mr Don Geddes (staff), along with our MP Jo Goodhew and Mr Murray Dudfield (Rural Fire). The Government is pushing to enlarge rural fire districts, believing there is better efficiency through joint administration and resource sharing. Some have occurred in parts of New Zealand with encouraging results.

I have been involved in a series of discussions over the last 24 months and while open to a compelling business case, have a 'healthy scepticism' given our current levels of service, and the integral link with civil defence response. We had previously agreed to a review being undertaken, however this has been delayed due to the Canterbury earthquake. This is now to be pursued.

The Minister is also the Associate Minister of Transport. We also took the opportunity to discuss transport issues, particularly rail. He undertook to look into this for us. There has subsequently been some response.

#### 4.2.2 CLEAN HEAT PROGRAMME

We met with Commissioner David Bedford on Monday 30 November to review results to date from this programme, and discuss where to from here.

The air quality is trending in the right direction to meet Central Government Air Quality Standards (under review). The conversion of enquiries to applications for financial support has been low in Ashburton compared to other areas. Discussions indicated a need to review the scheme and its promotion to better fit the Ashburton community. This will be undertaken in time for the 2011/2012 Annual Plan process.

#### 4.2.3 ART GALLERY / MUSEUM PROPOSAL

Currently Council has a resource consent (Commissioner) to proceed with this project. The consent has been appealed to the Environment Court. The Environment Court facilitates an optional mediation process to explore the ability of the parties to come to an agreed position. We have agreed to participate in a mediation process (Council as applicant, Council as planning authority) without prejudice. This is set to take place on Thursday 9 December 2010.

#### 4.2.4 METHVEN HERITAGE CENTRE

I attended a function at the Methven Heritage Centre on 2 December. The Mayor and Cr Nordqvist also attended. We had the opportunity to tour Stage 2 of this development (still under construction). There was a good turnout of supporters and the project is developing well.

#### 4.2.5 CANTERBURY MAYORAL FORUM

This Forum (Mayors, Chairs, Chief Executives) meets on 13 December. The key project is the Canterbury Water Management Strategy which has been sponsored by the Forum. The Strategy has revised targets which will be brought back to Council for adoption. The changes are supported by the Forum.

S.15, LGA 2002 requires councils within a region to enter into a Triennial Agreement by 1 March following each triennial general election. The Agreement set out protocols for communication and co-ordination between councils, and specifically covers any new activities proposed by a regional council. This will come to Council in the New Year for adoption.

#### **4.2.6 CANTERBURY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CO LTD**

This is a Council-controlled organisation incorporated in October 2008. This was required by the Ministry for Economic Development to co-ordinate / prioritise economic development initiatives in the region for Ministry grants. Mayor Bede O'Malley was our Council's representative. We now need to re-appoint. The focus to date has been to support water initiatives.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

<p>"That Mayor Angus McKay be the appointment from the Ashburton District Council, and that CEO Brian Lester be his alternate."</p>
---

#### **4.2.7 LGNZ RURAL PROVINCIAL SECTOR**

I attended this Forum in Wellington on 18/19 November. This represents the rural and provincial territorial authorities in New Zealand, to identify and promote through LGNZ issues of importance to this sector.

Mayor John Forbes is Chair of the Rural Sector and Mayor Brendan Duffy is Chair of the Provincial Sector.

Issues identified to progress in the next triennium include roading (funding, quality, security, recognising economic significance), broadband (progressing the roll out), water and waste water upgrades (costs, standards), Land and Water Forum outcomes, funding tourism infrastructure (\$11 million available, \$65 million applications).

Discussions are proposed for in the New Year with the Prime Minister and key Cabinet Ministers.

With respect to the water standards, decisions are still awaited on the current moratorium (till 2012). The science around the imposed standards and the estimated costs to upgrade were strongly challenged by this sector.

Wastewater upgrade subsidies have been allocated, however much still to be spent. Issues relate to the local share. It is unlikely unspent funds will be re-allocated.

The water subsidy basis is to be reviewed (10 year programme).

The Emissions Trading Scheme (to be reviewed in 2011) requires mandatory reporting on landfill emissions by 2012, with credits required (1.1 tonne CO<sub>2e</sub>/tonne waste) in 2013.

Freedom camping is likely to become an infringement notice offence. Guidance on bylaws will be provided by LGNZ / DIA in the New Year. Other work is being undertaken on a national education programme.

#### **4.2.8 ELECTRICITY ASHBURTON**

On 8 December, I attended a presentation by Electricity Ashburton on the roll out of their fibre optic cable network. This was a very good presentation attended by representatives from a large number of businesses in the district. Twenty four schools in the district are connected and will be having free usage for five years. The Council office is connected and will be using the network early in the New Year.

**B LESTER**  
**Chief Executive**

## 4.3 OPERATIONS COMMITTEE

---

**REPORT** and **MINUTES** of a meeting of the **OPERATIONS COMMITTEE** held in the Council Chamber, 5 Baring Square West, Ashburton, on Thursday 2 December 2010, commencing at 1.30 pm.

**PRESENT:** His Worship the Mayor, A R McKay; Councillors L J Leadley (Chair), N A Brown, D M Favel, D N Nelson, M J Nordqvist, P W Reveley, A B Totty and R S Wilson.

In attendance Councillors I J Burgess, R J Kilworth, J Sparks; Operations Manager, Water Services Manager, Roading & Street Services Manager and Committee Secretary.

Three members of the public attended.

**APOLOGY:** Cr McLeod (lateness)

**Sustained**

### ***Confirmation of Minutes***

Winchmore water scheme – a transfer of ownership is being progressed.

“That the minutes of the Operations Committee meeting held on 4 November 2010, as amended, be taken as read and confirmed.”

Brown/Nelson

**Carried**

### ***Matters Arising***

#### **Mine Road**

As requested, Council’s contractor has removed the Mine Road sign.

#### **Mill Creek**

The work programme was distributed to Councillors along with the Mill Creek Management Plan. Additional copies are available if required.

Cr McLeod attended the meeting at 1.34 pm.

#### **Tree trimmings**

The Operations Manager confirmed that property owners are responsible for removing trimmings after trees have been topped along roadside boundaries. A methodology has been prepared to address the issue. Council’s contractor will be requested to undertake the removal of trimmings with costs reverting to the tree owner. In the first instance, reports of tree trimmings left on roadsides will be taken up with the tree trimming contractors and their clients.

### ***Methven Water Supply Upgrade – Request to Extend Filtration Pilot Trial***

- “1. That the Methven water supply filtration equipment pilot trial be extended by up to three months; and
2. That the project completion date be extended to 16 December 2011.”

Totty/Nelson

**Carried**

### ***Civil Defence Sector Post Review Process***

The Committee supported the review process that is likely to result in the closure of sector posts at Wakanui, Carew, Lagmhor and Winchmore. Cr Nelson expressed concern at the proposal to close Carew because of the likely event of heavy snow in this area.

“That the Committee approves the Sector Post review process.”

Reveley/Brown

**Carried**

## ***Water Subcommittee***

### **SK-AP Barrhill-Rakaia Stockwater Race Closure**

- “1. That only the stockwater applications with 100% support be processed.
2. That applications without 100% support be put on hold until the policy has been reviewed – March 2011.”

Totty/Nordqvist

**Carried**

“That preliminary investigation work for Barrhill / Rakaia / Rakaia Settlement and surrounding areas be undertaken as soon as possible.”

Nelson/Brown

**Carried**

### **SK-BB Hinds Highway Race Closure – SCP Deliberation**

**[This recommendation is the subject of a separate report to Council]**

“That the Hinds Highway Race Closure stockwater race running from below Hinds Highway on Lot 2 DP 27690 to where it ceases at a soak hole on Lot 3 DP 67758 not be progressed at this time.”

Wilson/Nordqvist

**Carried**

### ***Activity Reports***

“That the reports be received.”

Reveley/Totty

**Carried**

### **Financial Reports**

- Cycling facilities – includes carry-over of funding from previous years. It was agreed to consider the request made at the Youth Forum for cycle stands as part of Council’s annual plan workshop on 15 December. Further information on costs to extend the cycleway through the West Street car park will be provided during the budget process.

At 2.00 pm the Committee stood and observed two minutes silence as a mark of respect for the Pike River mining tragedy victims and their families.

- Domain bore No 7 – drilling is now down to 70m. Ground conditions are similar to the No 6 bore (Walnut Ave) and it’s anticipated that a similar yield will be achieved at a depth of 85-90m.
- Mayfield private bore – testing has been completed on both phases and data monitoring equipment is likely to be reclaimed this week.
- Transwaste dividend – the reduction will be offset by the amount received from the waste levy.

### **Ashburton Business Estate**

Titles are expected to be issued by 10 December. A date for the official opening of stage one is yet to be confirmed. The site is deemed to be a construction site and is not yet open to the public. Council will be updated on 16 December.

### **Fish Screens**

Environment Canterbury’s position on fish screens has been formally requested and the response is awaited.

While the primary focus is on mechanical screens, the Operations Manager confirmed that consideration will be given to as wide a range of screens as possible.

### **Rakaia Water Conservation Order**

Application to amend the Conservation Order has been prepared following advice from Fish and Game and ECan that they support the amendment as being minor. The amendment is to exempt the take for the scheme at Acton from the low flow regime. The report will now be lodged with the Minister.

### **Proposed 2<sup>nd</sup> Bridge**

A report will be prepared for Council on 16 December, seeking approval for the consultation timeframe. The Operations Manager advised that a workshop will be held in the new year to discuss the work completed to date, including that of the Reference Group, prior to the public consultation process.

### **State Highway Work**

Cr Brown asked if the pedestrian island would be reinstated at Rakaia. The Operations Manager will contact NZTA to check whether they will reinstate and continue to maintain the island.

It was noted that three pedestrian islands were initially proposed but the Community Association agreed to one. The issue was raised at the recent Youth Forum by Rakaia representatives who asked for a second pedestrian island. A similar request was made by the Hinds school.

### **Maintenance**

It was reported that a road sign has been removed from the Racecourse Rd / SH77 intersection and this will be followed up by staff.

### **Bridges**

A number of the district's bridges were investigated in response to reported concerns.

Tenders for the replacement and / or upgrade of bridges on Double Hill Run Road and Osborns Road will close on 7 December.

The Committee adjourned for afternoon tea from 2.55 pm to 3.10 pm.

### **Water Restrictions**

The Water Services Manager reported that recent temperature increases have put pressure on the water supplies and level one restrictions will be imposed from Saturday 4 December.

### **Rakaia Settlement Scheme**

An investigation is being undertaken on a water supply to service part of the Rakaia Settlement area. One option is for a stand-alone supply, requiring drilling of a new bore and storage; the other is to connect to the existing Rakaia township supply. This matter will be reported further to Council on 16 December.

### **Ocean Farm**

#### **• Irrigation**

Consent has been granted to drill up to two bores on Ocean Farm. Drilling work has commenced.

Reference was made to issues that have arisen with poor irrigation coverage. It was reported that while the required contractual payments have been made, the amount of \$15,000 has been retained pending completion of remedial work.

### **Ashburton Urban Stormwater Strategy**

The Mayor asked whether staff are aware of work carried out by ECan at Jesseps Bend. ECan identified an option to direct excess stormwater through the stopbank via a pipe into the river rather than allowing it to carry on into the urban area.

### **Forest Drive**

Reference was made to the potential danger of the waterway along Forest Drive which was raised at the Youth Forum. Cr Nordqvist recalled a report on this matter a number of years ago.

Cr Sparks left the meeting at 3.35 pm.  
The Solid Waste Manager was in attendance.

### **Solid Waste**

It was reported that the public education programme has commenced with information clearly detailing what can and can't be accepted for recycling.

### **Road Safety**

Young Persons Forum – it was reported that the two schools (Hinds and Longbeach) spoke about the need to reduce the speed limit outside the schools. They propose installing solar powered lights and will meet the cost of this.

Irrigation water – Cr Totty asked whether Council has a bylaw to address the issue of irrigation water being sprayed onto roads. It was noted that land use consents are issued for this activity, requiring water to be kept within fence boundaries. Any breach of this would be a law enforcement matter. The Operations Manager suggested this matter could be included in the District Diary.

### **Requests for Service**

The Operations Manager was asked whether the complaints received in relation to public toilets relate to the same facility. This will be followed up.

### ***Business transacted with the public excluded (4.03 pm)***

"That the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting, namely – the general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under Section 48 (1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

<b>Item no</b>	<b>General subject of each matter to be considered:</b>	<b>In accordance with Section 48(1) of the Act, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter:</b>
9	Request to utilise Council stockwater main	Section 7(2)(i) Conduct of negotiations
10	Awarding tender C595 – Footpath resurfacing – Ashburton district	Section 7(2)(h) Commercial activities

Reveley/Nordqvist

**Carried**

The meeting concluded at 4.30 pm.

## 4.4 ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES COMMITTEE

---

**REPORT** and **MINUTES** of a meeting of the **ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES COMMITTEE** held in the Council Chamber, 5 Baring Square West, Ashburton, on Thursday 2 December 2010, commencing at 10.30 am.

**PRESENT:** His Worship the Mayor, A R McKay; Councillors R J Kilworth (Chair), I J Burgess, D G McLeod, P W Reveley, A B Totty and R S Wilson.

Also in attendance Crs N A Brown, D M Favel, D Nelson and M J Nordqvist; Environmental Services Manager, Building Services Manager, Regulatory Manager, Senior District Planner and Committee Secretary.

**APOLOGIES:** Crs L J Leadley (absence) and J Sparks (lateness - 10.36 am) **Sustained**

### **Confirmation of Minutes**

Correction (pg 2), *“That, in principle, at least six days be allocated per month ...”*

“That the minutes of the Environmental Services Committee meeting held on 11 November 2010, as amended, be taken as read and confirmed.”

McLeod/Wilson **Carried**

### **Matters Arising**

#### **Air Quality**

The Joint Working Group met informally this week. Mr McKenzie reported that the meeting made good progress and has reinvigorated the clean heat programme for Ashburton.

#### **Draft Regional Policy Statement**

At the conclusion of the Committee meeting, Councillors will be updated on the draft submission.

### **Activity Reports**

“That the reports be received.”

Totty/Reveley **Carried**

#### **Variance reports**

Statistical information for November was tabled. It was noted that building consent values for November were incorrectly recorded on the graph.

#### **Building consent processing times**

The Environmental Services Manager acknowledged the Mayor’s concern at the 4-5 day waiting time for inspections. Mr McKenzie explained that the demand for inspections surged at that time and exceeded available staff resources. Demand has since reduced but where delays occur in the future, a contractor is likely to be employed.

#### **Dangerous, earthquake prone and insanitary buildings**

The Committee heard that letters have been sent to the owners of public buildings, where earthquake damage resulted, advising of the statutory requirement to obtain an engineer’s assessment to ensure compliance with Council’s policy. The Environmental Services Manager confirmed that Council owned buildings, which fall into the category of ‘earthquake damaged’, are also required to comply.

### **Environmental Health**

The Regulatory Manager referred to the Park Street day care centre which has reported problems with pigeons. The centre has been given advice on options to protect a play area under the heritage tree from bird droppings. Options include a sun-shade or a removable ground protector. Removal of the tree would require resource consent, however Mr Humphries does not believe this is the correct solution. A recommendation has been made to the day care centre to approach Council for a grant, once they have decided on a plan for the area.

### **Mining Operation Consent**

The Senior District Planner confirmed that the mining operation currently under investigation will be required to obtain a resource consent. Mr Stevenson said that information received clearly shows that there has been an increased level of activity and existing use rights have been exceeded. If the operation can demonstrate that the effects are similar to what they were by reducing output in the meantime, they are likely to be able to continue.

### **Regulatory Manager**

The Committee heard that the Regulatory Manager, Mr Adrian Humphries, has resigned to take up a position with the Tasman District Council. On behalf of the Committee, the Chair thanked Mr Humphries for the significant contribution he has made to Council's regulatory activities during his time in Ashburton.

The meeting concluded at 11.18 am.

## ASHBURTON DISTRICT COUNCIL REPORT

FILE NO: SK-BB02  
DATE: 16 December 2010  
REPORT TO: Council  
FROM: Operations Manager  
SUBJECT: Closure of Stockwater Race – Hinds Highway

---

### 4.5 CLOSURE OF STOCKWATER RACE – HINDS HIGHWAY

#### 4.5.1 SUMMARY

A section of stockwater race commencing from a junction below Hinds Highway on Lot 2 DP 27690 to where it ceases at a soak hole on Lot 3 DP 67758, a distance of approximately 570 metres, has been investigated for closure.

The special consultative procedure has been completed with the Water Subcommittee having considered submissions on 11 November 2010.

The purpose of this report is to provide background information and a recommendation regarding the race closure.

#### 4.5.2 RECOMMENDATION

“That the closure of the Hinds Highway stockwater race, running from below Hinds Highway on Lot 2 DP 27690 to where it ceases at a soak hole on Lot 3 DP 67758, not proceed at this time.”

#### 4.5.3 BACKGROUND

This race was identified for closure by a property owner presently serviced by the subject race.

Preliminary investigations indicated all property owners opposed to the closure have ‘cost effective’ alternatives to the open stockwater race as defined in Council’s Stockwater Race Closures Issues and Options Guidelines. On 23 September 2010, Council was advised of the results of the preliminary investigation work and resolved the following:

*“That the special consultative procedure be commenced to close the stockwater race running from below Hinds Highway on Lot 2 DP 27690 to where it ceases at a soak hole on Lot 3 DP 67758.”*

The special consultative procedure period commenced on 29 September 2010 and closed on Wednesday, 3 November 2010.

Note: Further investigations by field staff during this period identified that the race is also used as a stormwater release point in large rain events to prevent flooding on the Hinds Highway.

Closure of this length of race will adversely affect stormwater conveyance and disposal in the area. This is considered a “fatal” issue with respect to this race closure.

At the conclusion of the consultation process, one submission had been received, with the submitter not wishing to be heard. The submission was in opposition to closure. The submission was provided to the Water Subcommittee on 4 November 2010, and deliberations took place on 11 November 2010.

The Subcommittee took into account the new information relating to stormwater disposal and it was considered by the Subcommittee that the closure of the race would have significant surface flooding implications.

The recommendation contained in this report has been considered by the Water Subcommittee.

#### 4.5.4 STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

##### Local Government Act 2002

The race closure consultation has been progressed in accordance with the Special Consultative Procedure as outlined in Section 83 of the Local Government Act 2002.

#### 4.5.5 OPTIONS AND RISKS CONSIDERED

The Council has two options.

##### Option 1 – To not approve the race closure

Race is retained and property owners will continue to be required to maintain the races traversing their properties.

*Risks:* None identified.

##### Option 2 – To approve the race closure

Race is closed in accordance with the proposal consulted on through the special consultation procedure.

*Risks:* Increased likelihood of flooding of the remaining races near and down gradient of this closure. This is considered a potential “fatal” issue to the race closure.

**The recommended option is Option 1. To not approve the race closure.**

#### 4.5.6 STRATEGIC LINKS

The recommendations contained in this report are consistent with Outcomes and Strategic Objectives outlined in the LTTCP. In particular;

**Outcome 1: A thriving and diverse local economy that provides the foundation for a quality lifestyle.**

*Strategic Objective – Our community has access to quality infrastructure (roading, water, footpaths, wastewater, and storm water) that is efficient and reliable (Priority Objective).*

**Outcome 2: Natural and developed environments are sustained for the enjoyment of current and future generations.**

*Strategic Objective – Water, land and air are managed sustainably (Priority Objective).*

#### 4.5.7 CONSULTATION

On 23 September 2010, Council accepted a recommendation to commence the special consultative procedure to close this section of race. The special consultative procedure was commenced on 29 September 2010 with a covering letter, a map of the subject race, a summary of the statement of proposal, and a submission form being sent to all affected parties. The proposal was publicly notified and submissions closed on Wednesday, 3 November 2010.

One submission was received, with the submitter not wishing to be heard. The submission was in opposition to closure.

The results of the consultative process, associated information and the recommendation in this report has been the subject of consideration by the Water Subcommittee.

#### 4.5.8 FINANCIAL

There are no financial implications arising from the recommendation contained in this report.

Prepared By:  
K CHAPMAN  
**Administration Officer**

Approved by:  
R ROUSE  
**Operations Manager**

## ASHBURTON DISTRICT COUNCIL REPORT

FILE NO:  
DATE: 16 December 2010  
REPORT TO: Council  
FROM: Operations Manager  
SUBJECT: Water Transfer Consent Application

---

### 4.6 WATER TRANSFER CONSENT APPLICATION

#### 4.6.1 SUMMARY

Discussions have been held at a senior level between ECan and Council and high level agreement in principle has been reached regarding water that may be transferred from the stockwater system and returned to the groundwater system, thereby enabling a similar quantity of water to be extracted from the groundwater system at another location.

The purpose of this report is to provide background information and seek Council's approval to apply for the required consents as a "pilot" project to confirm the technical and statutory feasibility of this initiative.

#### 4.6.2 RECOMMENDATION

"That Council approves:

1. the completion of technical investigation work;
2. the lodging of an application for a consent to discharge stockwater no longer required into the groundwater system up gradient of the Ashburton Business Estate; and
3. the lodging of an application for a consent to take a similar quantity of groundwater from a location within the Ashburton Business Estate footprint."

#### 4.6.3 BACKGROUND

Discussions have been held at a senior level between Council and ECan and "high level" agreement in principle reached regarding water that may be transferred from the stockwater system and returned to the groundwater system and a similar quantity of water then being made available for extraction from the groundwater system at another location.

Investigations have been carried out regarding the discharge of stockwater not required into groundwater and extracting a similar quantity of groundwater from a strategically located bore within the Ashburton Business Estate.

Investigation work has been carried out as follows:

- Sections of race have been identified in the general area of the business estate where there is spare capacity within the stockwater network and this water could be made available for groundwater recharge.
- Suitable potential discharge locations for groundwater recharge have been identified up-gradient of the strategically located bore.
- Modifications required to existing races has been investigated.
- Statutory processes have been identified

Set out below is a summary of the results of the investigation work carried out to date:

- There are a number of races that run near and up gradient of the business estate. The largest being the Seafield Main and the Winchmore Main.
- Both of these mains are supplied with water from the Winchmore intake located on the north branch of the Ashburton River.
- A review of flows indicates that some of the water from these races could be returned to the groundwater system.
- A groundwater recharge point is required to allow the water discharged from the race to enter the groundwater system.
- Ideally it would be beneficial to have the discharge point located “upgradient” of the abstraction point.
- Gravel pits are an option for the discharge point.
- A number of gravel pits have been identified close to the ABE – refer to the attached plan.

Note: further investigation work is being carried out on potential discharge points to identify if possible an area of unoccupied Council land/gravel pit.

Resource consent requirements are as follows:

- A discharge permit is required to discharge water to ground. This is required because the discharge will exceed the “permitted activity” discharge rule for minor discharges. A consent would be required as a discretionary activity.
- A discharge consent application would need to consider:
  - The rate of discharge and its reliability
  - Water quality
  - Ponding and surface runoff

Note: water quality and ponding etc will be dealt with through a “constructed” discharge point that could include a wetland.

We are seeking approval to apply for the following resource consents:

- A discharge of surplus stockwater into ground for the purposes of groundwater recharge.
- The abstraction of a similar amount of water from groundwater from a location within the Ashburton Business Estate.

#### **4.6.4 OPTIONS**

The following options are available to Council:

*Option 1: to approve the lodging of resource consent applications*

This option will allow this initiative to be “tested”. If successful it could result in a range of water management initiatives to proceed with minor or no effects, and in the short term provide Council with access to a quantity of water strategically located within the Ashburton Business Estate footprint.

*Option 2: to not approve the lodging of resource consent applications*

This option may result in a lost or delayed opportunity to develop a “template” to facilitate the transfer of water using this approach.

It is of strategic benefit to Council if we can have available a source of groundwater close to or in the business estate to service future development.

***The recommended option is Option 1: to approve the lodgement of resource consent applications.***

#### **4.6.5 STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS**

In order to progress this initiative, it will be necessary to lodge resource consent applications in accordance with associated statutory processes.

#### **4.6.6 CONSULTATION**

The content of this report has been considered by the Water Subcommittee. The recommendations in this report are supported by the subcommittee.

The content of this report and recommendations have not been the subject of consultation with the wider public.

The Property Manager has been consulted on possible discharge locations.

#### **4.6.7 STRATEGIC LINKS**

The recommendations contained in this report are consistent with outcomes and strategic objectives outlined in the LTCCP. In particular;

**Outcome 1: a thriving and diverse local economy that provides the foundation for a quality lifestyle.**

*Strategic objective – our community has access to quality infrastructure (roading, water, footpaths, wastewater, and stormwater) that is efficient and reliable (priority objective).*

**Outcome 2: natural and developed environments are sustained for the enjoyment of current and future generations.**

*Strategic objective – water, land and air are managed sustainably (priority objective).*

#### **4.6.8 FINANCIAL**

The cost of investigation work completed to date is \$3,680.

The cost to prepare consent application documentation is estimated to be \$20,000.

If approved, this work will be funded from favourable variances in the Ashburton water supply cyclic renewal budget activity area.

Prepared By:

R ROUSE  
**Operations Manager**

Approved by:

B LESTER  
**Chief Executive**

## ASHBURTON DISTRICT COUNCIL REPORT

FILE NO: DW-AX01  
DATE: 16 December 2010  
REPORT TO: Council  
FROM: Water Services Manager  
SUBJECT: Investigation into Partial Water Servicing of Rakaia Settlement

---

### 4.7 INVESTIGATION INTO PARTIAL WATER SERVICING OF RAKAIA SETTLEMENT (PART AREA)

#### 4.7.1 SUMMARY

An investigation into the provision of a reticulated water supply to service part of the Rakaia Settlement has been completed.

The purpose of this report is to update Council on the findings of the investigation and seek approval to use the results of the investigation as the basis for consultation with the affected property owners.

#### 4.7.2 RECOMMENDATION

- |  |
|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. That the results of the investigation into water servicing of the Rakaia Settlement be used as the basis for consultation with the affected parties.</li><li>2. That the <u>preferred</u> option for the purposes of consultation be Option 2: Supply from Rakaia scheme.</li><li>3 That the consultation material includes both options identified.”</li></ol> |
|--|

#### 4.7.3 BACKGROUND

As part of the ongoing work relating to the Barrhill Rakaia water race closure, the water subcommittee requested that an investigation be undertaken into the possible provision of a reticulated water supply to service the properties in the area currently serviced by the stockwater race.

This investigation has been completed. The results of the investigation are contained in a report from Council's engineering service provider titled "*Rakaia Settlement Water Supply (partially serviced) – Issues and Options Report, November 2010*". A copy will be made available on request.

The area of interest is those properties that are able to be serviced by proposed reticulation located in Wolseley, Baker, Hardys and Johnston Roads. The requirements of the scheme are:-

- It is to be a potable water supply meeting the DWSNZ
- Water supply is limited to household and stockwater requirements only – i.e. it is not provided for irrigation of crops
- Volume to customers to be unitised at increments of 1000 litres / property / day with a minimum volume of 2000 litres / property / day
- Each property is required to provide its own storage (and pressure system) – minimum volume equivalent to the total daily allocation
- Consideration to be given for fire-fighting requirements – e.g. localised bulk storage

There are 24 properties in this area that were serviced by the stockwater race. The total number of potential lots in the area of interest is 67. For the purposes of estimating demand, it has been assumed that initially 24 properties will be serviced, rising to around 50 domestic connections in the future.

The design demand and flows are calculated considering:-

- Peak domestic demand
- Peak stockwater demand
- Fire-fighting demand
- Peaking factor

The key demand figures are:-

<b>Description</b>	<b>Initial (24 properties)</b>	<b>Future (50 properties)</b>
Design Demand (m3/day)	108.0	132.0
Peak Instantaneous Design Flow (L/s)	1.6	2.0

Two scheme options have been identified and both are considered feasible at this point. These options are: a standalone scheme; and supplying the area from the existing Rakaia water supply.

A comparison of the scheme options is set out in the table below:

	<b>Scheme Option 1 Standalone Supply</b>	<b>Scheme Option 2 Supply From Rakaia Scheme</b>
<b>Description</b>	Involves the drilling and establishment of a new bore, bore pump, building, storage and booster pumps, plus ringed pipework around boundary roads.	Involves installation of building, booster pumps, ring pipework around boundary roads, plus pipework to the area.
<b>Estimated Costs</b>		
Construction	\$ 686,000	\$ 600,000
Consent	\$ 20,000	\$ 0
Engineering	\$ 69,000	\$ 60,000
<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>\$ 775,000 Exc GST</b>	<b>\$ 660,000 Exc GST</b>
<b>Risks</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New bore may not yield sufficient water</li> <li>• Water quality may not meet the DWSNZ</li> <li>• May be difficult to obtain resource consent for sufficient volume of water</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shutdowns on Rakaia water supply may impact level of service to this scheme</li> <li>• Higher unaccounted for water due to longer rising (pumping) main</li> </ul>

Scheme Option 1 requires the drilling of an exploratory bore and also obtaining a resource consent for the new abstraction. While the proposed take is relatively modest, the bore location is in a groundwater red zone.

Scheme Option 2 has the significant advantage of not requiring a resource consent from the outset. There appears to be sufficient scope within our existing consent for the Rakaia water supply to accommodate the extra demand from this scheme without the need to amend the consent at this point in time. It is also the most cost-effective.

It is proposed that the information contained in the issues and options report be used as the basis of consultation with the community. Based on the inherent advantages, it is considered that Option 2 is the preferred option.

#### 4.7.4 OPTIONS & RISKS CONSIDERED

The following options are available.

##### Option One – Consultation proceeds on a single preferred option

Council chooses its preferred scheme option for the purposes of consultation and consultation material is developed and proceeds on this basis. It is considered that **Scheme Option 2:- Supply from the Rakaia scheme** is the preferred option, although both options would be presented in the consultation material.

##### *Risks*

- *May not be preferred by affected parties and result in lower level of support.*

##### Option Two – Consultation proceeds on both options

Council does not signal a preference for either option. Consultation material is developed and proceeds with both options available for consideration.

##### *Risks*

- *May split support for a reticulated scheme.*

The recommended option is **Option One**.

#### 4.7.5 STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

Not applicable.

#### 4.7.6 CONSULTATION

No consultation with the Rakaia Settlement has been undertaken at this point. The results of the investigation and the information contained in this report will be used as the basis for developing the consultation material. Consultation with affected parties will commence in January 2011 subject to Council approval.

#### 4.7.7 STRATEGIC LINKS

Approval of the recommendations contained in this report is consistent with Outcomes and Strategic Objectives outlined in the LTCCP. In particular;

**Outcome 1: A thriving and diverse local economy that provides the foundation for a quality lifestyle.**

*Strategic Objective. Our community has access to quality infrastructure (roading, water, footpaths, wastewater and storm water) that is efficient and reliable (Priority Objective).*

**Outcome 2: A thriving and diverse local economy that provides the foundation for a quality lifestyle.**

*Strategic Objective. Water, land and air are managed sustainably (Priority Objective).*

#### 4.7.8 FINANCIAL

In order to establish the potential rating impact of the scheme, a number of assumptions have been made. These are set out below:-

- A "Council Contribution" of 10% has been applied in accordance with current policy
- The capital cost will be loan funded over 25 years
- For this calculation, 7.0% interest rate has been used
- The costs have been levied across the total possible ratepayers that could be serviced – ie 67 properties
- An initial up take of 24 properties (connections) has been assumed. This influences the rates divisor and therefore the annual rates
- The operation & maintenance costs have been based on the Dromore and Winchmore water supplies and take account of expected reduced maintenance requirements associated with a new scheme.

- No allowance has been made in Option 2 for development contributions that could be considered payable to the Rakaia water supply. (Currently \$1,452 Inc GST per household).

The cost implications are set out in the table below:

	<b>Option 1</b> Standalone Supply	<b>Option 2</b> Supplied from Rakaia Scheme
Capital Cost (Exc GST)	\$ 775,000	\$ 660,000
Minus Council Contribution (10%)	\$ 77,500	\$ 66,000
Capital Cost to be Met by Scheme (Exc GST)	\$ 697,500	\$ 594,000
Estimated Annual O&M (Exc GST & Loan Servicing)	\$ 30,000	\$ 25,000

*To be met through either*

Lump Sum Cost per Rateable Property (Inc GST)	\$ 11,972	\$ 10,196
Additional Annual Rates (Inc GST)	\$ 760	\$ 635
<u>OR</u>		
Lump Sum Cost per Rateable Property (Inc GST)	\$ Nil	\$ Nil
Additional Annual Rates (Inc GST)	\$ 1,780	\$ 1,502

As with any new scheme there are a number of issues surrounding the funding that need to be addressed. The primary issue arises when a scheme is designed for a future servicing potential but in reality it may be some years before this potential is realised.

Effectively, if the initial "up take" is only 24 properties, then in the case of Option 1, those properties only meet \$249,851 (i.e. 24 x \$11,972 – GST) of the total capital cost of the scheme. The balance of the cost (\$447,649) has to be met from somewhere else.

There are different ways this can be managed:-

- Council could act as "banker" and service the interest costs of the unfunded portion from the general rate. The cost of that servicing could be reclaimed through a development contribution from those properties that choose to join later.
- The cost of interest only servicing could be rated against those properties that do not wish to connect at the outset and added to the targeted rate ½ charge. This being justified on the basis that those properties enjoy the benefit of being able to join the scheme at anytime in the future.

Notwithstanding the above, it is considered there is sufficient information to carry out preliminary consultation with landowners in the affected area without confirming at this point how any unfunded portion will be addressed.

Prepared By:  
A R GUTHRIE  
**Water Services Manager**

Approved by:  
R S ROUSE  
**Operations Manager**

## ASHBURTON DISTRICT COUNCIL REPORT

FILE NO: 1/1/4  
DATE: 16 December 2010  
REPORT TO: Council  
FROM: Chief Executive / Senior District Planner  
SUBJECT: Meeting Calendar 2011

---

### 4.8 MEETING CALENDAR 2011 & DISTRICT PLAN HEARING DATES

#### RECOMMENDATION

“That the item of business adjourned at the 25 November Council meeting be uplifted for debate.”

#### 4.8.1 SUMMARY

As reported to the Environmental Services Committee and Council in November, it was anticipated that at least six days per month would be required for District Plan review hearings and deliberations to ensure that the statutory timeframe for releasing decisions could be met. Recognising the time commitment required of Councillors appointed to the hearings panels, the calendar has been revised to reduce the number of hearing dates on the basis that there is the option to use a commissioner for a number of the hearings.

It is further recommended that the Operations and Environmental Services Committee meetings be held on the same afternoon.

The revised meeting calendar is attached.

*Page 33*

#### 4.8.2 RECOMMENDATION

1. That the report be received.”
2. That Council adopts the 2011 Meeting Schedule for Standing Committee, Council and Methven Community Board meetings.”

#### 4.8.3 BACKGROUND

The meeting calendar has been amended following the Council meeting on 25 November, at which time it was agreed that the item be adjourned until the 16 December Council meeting.

The changes are explained below:

##### **Environmental Services Committee/ Operations Committee – same day**

The proposal to hold Environmental Services Committee and Operations Committee on the same day has been discussed by the Executive and it is proposed that Environmental Services Committee starts at 1.30 pm, with the Operations Committee to follow. The scheduling of two committee meetings on the same day frees up other days for hearings on the District Plan as well as other meetings.

##### **District Plan hearings**

The proposed schedule of District Plan hearings, comprising four days per month is workable from officer's point of view. While officers recognise the initial proposal of six days per month was not reasonable, having regard to Councillors other commitments, it is important to advise of the implications of fewer hearing days per month, which are described below:

- **Statutory timeframe**

The Resource Management Act states that the Local Authority must '*give its decision no later than two years after notifying the Proposed Plan under Clause 5*' (Clause 10(4)(a), Schedule 1, RMA).

The Proposed District Plan was formally notified for submissions on 21 April 2010, which would require decisions to be notified by 21 April 2012. Given the breadth of topics, number of submissions and time required to prepare decisions it is unlikely that the Council will meet its statutory obligation. There is no penalty for not meeting the above requirement but it would need to be acknowledged through a bi-annual survey undertaken of councils by the Ministry for the Environment.

- **Effect of rules**

In allocating four days per month to District Plan hearings, the period that hearings will run for is longer than if more days are allocated per month (four hearing days could require 18 months to hear and make decisions on all matters).

The decisions on the submissions received on the Proposed District Plan will be released after all hearings have ended (unless the Council decides that decisions on specific rules are released ahead of other decisions) at which time rules have legal effect unless advised otherwise, eg rules relating to heritage buildings and protected trees have legal effect from 21 April 2010, as specified in the Introduction to the Proposed District Plan.

While submitters can be advised in advance of when decisions may be released, until that time uncertainty will exist in the community and a delay caused by extending the hearing process will also extend that uncertainty. The date that decisions are released may influence important decisions on investment, subdivision or development; for example a landowner who can subdivide their land into smaller lots with rezoning of their land from Rural A to Residential D.

- **Other implications**

The effect of four days being allocated per month, with two sets of two days, is that larger topics requiring more than four days of hearings/ deliberations could run for two or more months. It should also be recognised that even for smaller topics, the effect of two sets of two days is that the second day in each 'set' of two days may be required solely for deliberations. However, it will be a more efficient use of hearing time to have two sets of two days allocated as opposed to one block of four days in a month.

#### **4.8.4 OPTIONS & RISKS CONSIDERED**

Options for District Plan hearings are as follows:

1. Hearings/ deliberations over four days per month – as per the recommendation and above commentary.
2. Hearings/ deliberations over six days per month – while this would provide for more hearing days over 2011, and result in decisions being released sooner, the commitment required from elected members would be significant, having regard to the need for reading of all reports in addition to hearing time. This option is more likely to result in decisions being released within the statutory timeframe of two years but there are no penalties in not meeting this requirement.
3. Hearings/ deliberations over less than four days per month – this option would not be satisfactory in further delaying hearing time on all topics and the subsequent release of decisions. It would compound any issues arising including larger topics running over several months.

4. Split hearings to run concurrently, or delegate further issues for Commissioner hearings. This could enable the statutory requirement to be met. Split hearings may test Councillor resources. Further use of a Commissioner(s) could result in decisions being made “out of the district” (although this can happen on appeal).

#### **4.8.5 STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS**

The Resource Management Act states that the Local Authority must ‘give its decision no later than two years after notifying the proposed plan under Clause 5’ (Clause 10(4)(a), Schedule 1, RMA). There are no penalties for not meeting this requirement, but it is reported on.

Where the local authority adopts a schedule of ordinary meetings -

- (a) the schedule may cover such future period as the local authority considers appropriate and may be amended from time to time; and
- (b) notification of the schedule or any amendment shall constitute notification of every meeting on the schedule or amendment.

#### **4.8.6 CONSULTATION**

No consultation is considered necessary on this matter.

#### **4.8.7 STRATEGIC LINKS**

The following Outcome and Strategic Objective from the Community Plan 2009 – 2019 are relevant to the decision –

***Outcome 7: A community that contributes to improving our quality of life through democratic participation***

*Strategic Objectives*

- *The community is provided with opportunities to participate in local decision-making*

The Council’s contribution to achieving this outcome includes ‘providing and promoting opportunities for the community to be involved in Council planning processes including the District Plan’. It is through scheduling District Plan hearings to enable submitters to participate.

#### **4.8.8 FINANCIAL**

The costs associated with the District Plan hearings have been budgeted for in the 2010/11 year. There are not considered to be financial implications of hearings running for a longer period than would otherwise be the case, except that any funds unspent in the 2010/11 year may need to be rolled over into the 2011/12 year.

Prepared By:

**M STEVENSON**  
**Senior District Planner**

Approved by:

**B LESTER**  
**Chief Executive**

## ASHBURTON DISTRICT COUNCIL REPORT

FILE NO: 6/9/44  
DATE: 16 December 2010  
REPORT TO: Council  
FROM: Senior District Planner  
SUBJECT: Canterbury Earthquake Heritage Building Fund

---

### 4.9 CANTERBURY EARTHQUAKE HERITAGE BUILDING FUND

#### 4.9.1 SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is for Council to consider an invitation to contribute towards the Canterbury Earthquake Heritage Building Fund, a regional fund that has been established to provide financial support to the owners of heritage buildings by addressing the gap between insurance cover and the actual cost of repairs and associated work.

While any contribution to the regional fund would benefit from match funding by central government, Council has previously approved (September 2010) a proposal to invite applications from the owners of heritage buildings/ items in February/ March 2011 for grants to cover the costs of damage sustained from the earthquake. By inviting applications through the Council's own grants scheme there is certainty that the contribution Council makes benefits the owners of heritage buildings/ items in the district.

It is therefore recommended that Council declines the offer.

#### 4.9.2 RECOMMENDATION

- |   |
|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. That the report be received.</li><li>2. That Council declines the offer to contribute towards the Canterbury Earthquake Heritage Building Fund."</li></ol> |
|---|

#### 4.9.3 BACKGROUND

A Canterbury Earthquake Heritage Buildings Fund has been established; the purpose of the fund being to provide assistance to owners of heritage buildings to repair damage caused by the Canterbury earthquake and associated aftershocks. The fund is for the wider region, with Christchurch City Council (CCC), Selwyn District Council (DC) and Waimakariri District Council participating to date.

Funding will be targeted at the gap between insurance cover, and the actual cost of repairs and associated works including conservation works, structural upgrading and Building Code compliance works. Grants will be made from the fund up to a maximum of 50% of the total cost of the works, depending on the significance of the building and/or the group of buildings of which it is a part.

Ashburton District Council has been invited to contribute to the fund, which will be match funded by Government. If the Council were to contribute to the fund, the owners of heritage buildings / items who sustained damage during the earthquake would benefit from financial support.

There is known to be 28 heritage buildings in Ashburton District that have been damaged in the quake, which are listed in the Operative and/or Proposed District Plan, and some of which are registered with the Historic Places Trust. This is on the basis of information collected from building inspections.

An assessment has not been undertaken of the likely costs associated with repairs to these buildings and it is not known if there is a gap between insurance cover and the actual cost of repairs. However, this information may not be available from owners until such time that estimates for work are obtained (following an assessment by EQC).

The other councils participating (Christchurch City, Selwyn and Waimakariri District Councils) have each contributed to the regional fund with CCC also making a contribution from their Incentive Grant Fund for the 2011/12 year. However, other district's are not participating at this stage on the basis that they have not suffered significant damage.

The recommendation to not contribute to the regional fund while not supporting other Districts, would allow Council to retain control of its funds and who funding is allocated to. Furthermore, the costs of repairs and restoration are not known and there is unlikely to be information available in the short term to inform a contribution towards the regional fund.

By inviting applications through the Council's own grants scheme, it becomes known through applications if owners require financial assistance. While the Operational Guidelines being drafted for the regional fund includes a requirement to take into account geographic spread in allocating funds, there is greater certainty that the contribution Council makes through its own grants scheme benefits the owners of heritage buildings/ items in the District.

#### **4.9.4 OPTIONS & RISKS CONSIDERED**

1. Council declines the offer to contribute to the Canterbury Earthquake Heritage Building fund.
2. Council agrees to contribute to the Canterbury Earthquake Heritage Buildings Fund, demonstrating its commitment to the protection and restoration of the District's and Region's heritage.

#### **4.9.5 STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS**

The protection of historic heritage is a Matter of National Importance under section 6 of the Resource Management Act.

#### **4.9.6 CONSULTATION**

No consultation has been undertaken and is not considered necessary given the circumstances.

#### **4.9.7 STRATEGIC LINKS**

The following Community Outcomes from the LTCCP are relevant –

***Community Plan Outcome 3: A community with a strong sense of identity and heritage, which welcomes new residents and encourages diversity***

- *Our community recognises and preserves the cultural and social heritage of our district.*

***Community Plan Outcome 6: A community with access to a variety of cultural, recreational and heritage experiences and facilities that enrich our quality of life***

- *Cultural, recreational and heritage facilities are maintained and developed to meet community needs. (Priority Objective)*

#### **4.9.8 FINANCIAL**

There are no financial implications beyond what has been budgeted for the Heritage Grants scheme.

Prepared By:

M STEVENSON  
**Senior District Planner**

Approved by:

J McKENZIE  
**Environmental Services Manager**

## ASHBURTON DISTRICT COUNCIL REPORT

FILE NO:  
DATE: 16 December 2010  
REPORT TO: Council  
FROM: Community Planning Manager  
SUBJECT: Draft Ashburton District Events Strategy

---

### 4.10 DRAFT ASHBURTON DISTRICT EVENTS STRATEGY

#### 4.10.1 SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to have Council receive the draft Ashburton District Event Strategy document and for Council to endorse the strategy for the purpose of community consultation.

#### 4.10.2 RECOMMENDATION

“1. That Council receives the draft Ashburton District Events Strategy.  
2. That Council endorses the draft Ashburton District Events Strategy for the purpose of community consultation.”

#### 4.10.3 BACKGROUND

The Community Planning Manager has worked with the Ashburton District Tourism Event Development Executive to develop a draft Events Strategy for the district.

Development of an Events Strategy is one of the actions to come from the Ashburton District Visitor Strategy. Events are seen as a key means of increasing overall visitor numbers to the district and in particular of attracting visitors over the spring and autumn shoulder periods, traditionally times of reduced visitor numbers to the district compared to summer and winter.

Events can also be strong contributors to improving social cohesion and generating a sense of pride in the district for local residents. They enable our residents to showcase their own interests and skills and to share with other locals and visitors alike.

As part of the process to develop the draft Events Strategy two community workshops were held, in Ashburton and Methven. The ideas put forward at these workshops have provided the basis for the development of the strategy.

Submissions can be made until Monday 14 February. All submissions will be sent to the Ashburton District Tourism offices and will be considered before the final strategy is adopted by the Ashburton District Tourism Development Board.

The Board adopted the draft strategy on 30 November 2010.

#### 4.10.4 OPTIONS & RISKS CONSIDERED

Not applicable.

#### 4.10.5 STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

Not applicable.

#### 4.10.6 CONSULTATION

Consultation period will run from 17 December to 14 February 2011. The draft strategy and consultation process will be advertised on the Ashburton District Tourism event website, ADC website, District Diary and ADC Community Noticeboard.

Copies of the draft strategy will be available from the ADT offices, Methven and Ashburton i-SITES, ADC offices and Rakaia Mobil.

Key stakeholders and all who were invited to the community workshops will be sent a copy of the draft strategy.

#### 4.10.7 STRATEGIC LINKS

The preparation of an Ashburton District Event Strategy has linkages with community outcomes, specifically:

**Outcome 1: A thriving and diverse local economy that provides the foundation for a quality lifestyle**

- a. *A growing population enjoying a high quality of life*
- b. *A prosperous and diverse local economy, and a skilled workforce*

**Outcome 2: Natural and developed environments are sustained for the enjoyment of current and future generations**

- a. *Water, land and air are managed sustainably (Priority Objective)*
- b. *Towns and rural areas are developed in a way which enhances the local environment and meets the needs of the community (Priority Objective)*
- c. *Our community understands the need to protect our natural environment and acts to do so*

**Outcome 3: A community with a strong sense of identity and heritage, which welcomes new residents and encourages diversity**

- a. *A community that attracts and retains new residents*
- b. *A district that has a strong sense of identity and people who participate in community life (Priority Objective)*
- c. *A community that values and encourages diversity*
- d. *A community which recognises and preserves the cultural and social heritage of our district*

**Outcome 6: A community with access to a variety of cultural, recreational and heritage experiences and facilities that enrich our quality of life**

- a. *Cultural, recreational and heritage experiences and facilities are accessible to the community and actively used*
- b. *Cultural, recreational and heritage facilities are maintained and developed to meet community needs (Priority Objective)*

Ashburton District Visitor Strategy

Sport and Recreation Strategy

#### 4.10.8 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

None.

#### 4.10.9 SIGNIFICANCE

Not applicable.

Prepared By:

G THOMAS  
Community Planning Manager

Approved by:

J G ROLLINSON  
Manager Democracy & Community Services

## ASHBURTON DISTRICT COUNCIL REPORT

FILE NO: 0510/68/02  
DATE: 16 December 2010  
REPORT TO: Council  
FROM: Community Services Officer  
SUBJECT: Road Closure – Methven Motorcycle Street Race

---

### **4.11 ROAD CLOSURE – Methven Lions Club: Methven Motorcycle Street Race**

#### **4.11.1 SUMMARY**

To recommend the temporary closure of roads in Methven, for the purpose of allowing the Methven Lions Club to hold the Methven Motorcycle Street Race.

#### **4.11.2 RECOMMENDATION**

“That the following roads be closed to ordinary vehicular traffic from 6.00 am to 6.00 pm on Saturday 23 April 2011, for the purpose of allowing the Methven Lions Club to hold the Methven Motorcycle Street Race

METHVEN CHERTSEY ROAD, from Main Street to Mackie Street

MACKIE STREET, from Methven Chertsey Road to Barkers Road

BARKERS ROAD, from Main Street to Hall Street

HALL STREET, from Barkers Road to Main Street

STATE HIGHWAY 77 (MAIN STREET), from Lampard Street to Bank Street

KILWORTH STREET, from Mackie Street to Methven Chertsey Road

FOREST DRIVE, from McMillan Street to Main Street.”

#### **4.11.3 BACKGROUND**

The Methven Lions Club has applied for a temporary road closure for the purpose of holding the Methven Motorcycle Street Race, for the above named roads in Methven.

The Methven Lions Club has been advised of the conditions of closure as follows:

1. Approval by Council.
2. No objections being upheld against the closure in response to the statutory advertisement.
3. Arrangements are to be made for a pre-event and post-event inspection with a representative from the Council's Operations Department. The inspections are to be completed within three days of the event and results of the inspections will be recorded by Council.
4. The road must be reinstated to the condition prior to the event. Any costs incurred by the Council as a result of this event, including maintenance costs or reinstatement costs, will be charged to the Methven Lions Club. Any restoration work required is to be carried out to an arranged programme with Council.
5. Necessary arrangements are made for the tidying and clearing of the road on the closed section of the road and the disposal of any litter.

6. The Methven Lions Club is required to arrange adequate insurance against its liability to pay damages to any person or entity in respect of any claim on account of damage to property or injury to persons where the damage or injury results from the holding of this event.
7. Provision of a Traffic Management Plan, conforming with the requirements of the Transit NZ Code of Practice for Temporary Traffic Management.
8. The Methven Lions Club shall be responsible to ensure that the correct road signs and barricades are in place during the full period of the closure and promptly removed after the conclusion and clearance of the road.
9. The Methven Lions Club is required to provide adequate safety marshals in distinctive clothing for the event.
10. Should weather conditions become sufficiently adverse that the road could be damaged as a result of holding the event the organisers shall take the necessary steps to protect the road. This may include delaying or cancelling the event.

#### **4.11.4 OPTIONS & RISKS CONSIDERED**

Not applicable.

#### **4.11.5 STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS**

Clause 11 of the Tenth Schedule of the Local Government Act 1974 provides –

*“That Council may, subject to such conditions as it thinks fit... close any road or part of a road to all traffic (e)... for any exhibition, fair, market, concert, film making, race or other sporting event or public function.”*

#### **4.11.6 CONSULTATION**

The proposed road closure has been publicly notified in the Ashburton Guardian on 10 November 2010 and 17 November 2010, and in the Methven Snowfed on Thursday 11 November 2010 and objections called for by 4.00 pm on Friday 3 December 2010.

#### **4.11.7 STRATEGIC LINKS**

Not applicable.

#### **4.11.8 FINANCIAL**

Not applicable.

Prepared By:

J NAYLOR  
**Community Services Officer**

Approved by:

JG ROLLINSON  
**Manager Democracy & Community Services**